MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS JOURNAL

Original Research Paper

The risk of infections for multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder disease-modifying treatments: Eighth European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis Focused Workshop Review. April 2021

Carmen Tur^{*}^(D), Anne-Laure Dubessy^{*}, Susana Otero-Romero, Maria Pia Amato^(D), Tobias Derfuss^(D), Franziska Di Pauli, Ellen Iacobaeus, Marcin Mycko, Hesham Abboud^(D), Anat Achiron^(D), Angelo Bellinvia, Alexey Boyko, Jean-Laurent Casanova, David Clifford, Ruth Dobson^(D), Mauricio F Farez, Massimo Filippi^(D), Kathryn C Fitzgerald^(D), Mattia Fonderico^(D), Riadh Gouider, Yael Hacohen, Kerstin Hellwig^(D), Bernhard Hemmer^(D), Ludwig Kappos, Filipa Ladeira^(D), Christine Lebrun-Frénay^(D), Céline Louapre, Melinda Magyari^(D), Matthias Mehling, Celia Oreja-Guevara^(D), Lekha Pandit^(D), Caroline Papeix^(D), Fredrik Piehl, Emilio Portaccio^(D), Isabel Ruiz-Camps, Krzysztof Selmaj, Steve Simpson-Yap^(D), Aksel Siva^(D), Per Soelberg Sorensen, Maria Pia Sormani^(D), Maria Trojano, Adi Vaknin-Dembinsky, Sandra Vukusic^(D), Brian Weinshenker, Heinz Wiendl^(D), Alexander Winkelmann, María Isabel Zuluaga Rodas, Mar Tintoré[†]^(D) and Bruno Stankoff[†]

Abstract: Over the recent years, the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS) and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) has evolved very rapidly and a large number of disease-modifying treatments (DMTs) are now available. However, most DMTs are associated with adverse events, the most frequent of which being infections. Consideration of all DMT-associated risks facilitates development of risk mitigation strategies. An international focused workshop with expert-led discussions was sponsored by the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ECTRIMS) and was held in April 2021 to review our current knowledge about the risk of infections associated with the use of DMTs for people with MS and NMOSD and corresponding risk mitigation strategies. The workshop addressed DMT-associated infections in specific populations, such as children and pregnant women with MS, or people with MS who have other comorbidities or live in regions with an exceptionally high infection burden. Finally, we reviewed the topic of DMT-associated infectious risks in the context of the current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Herein, we summarize available evidence and identify gaps in knowledge which justify further research.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder, disease-modifying treatment, DMT-associated infections, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, coronavirus disease 2019, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, risk mitigation strategies

Date received: 4 August 2021; revised: 22 November 2021; accepted: 4 December 2021

Multiple Sclerosis Journal

2022, Vol. 28(9) 1424-1456

DOI: 10.1177/ 13524585221069068

© The Author(s), 2022. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to C Tur

Multiple Sclerosis Centre of Catalonia (Cemcat), Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, 08035 Barcelona, Spain.

ctur@cem-cat.org

Carmen Tur Susana Otero-Romero Mar Tintoré

Multiple Sclerosis Centre of Catalonia (Cemcat), Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain

Anne-Laure Dubessy Bruno Stankoff

Sorbone Université, Inserm, CNRS, UMR7225, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière (ICM), Paris, France/ Department of Neurology, Saint Antoine Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France

Maria Pia Amato

Department of NEUROFARBA, University of Florence, Florence, Italy/ IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Florence, Italy

Introduction

Over the recent years, the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS) and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) have evolved very rapidly and a large number of disease-modifying treatments (DMTs) are now available for people with these conditions.^{1–3} The availability of these drugs contributed to improving the natural history of these conditions.^{1–3} With the notable exception of first-line injectable DMTs, all DMTs result in some degree of immune impairment, and a corresponding increased risk of adverse events (AEs), mainly infections, compared with untreated patients or the general population.^{4,5} It is important to note that MS per se can also imply a higher risk of infections compared to the general population.⁴ Although serious DMT-associated infections are relatively rare, they are potentially lethal, or, on some occasions, can aggravate the underlying neurological condition. For these reasons, understanding the risks associated with the drugs currently being used for MS and NMOSD is important, as is early detection procedures and risk mitigation strategies.

Given the importance of the topic, an ECTRIMS Focused Workshop was held in April 2021 to review infections associated with currently available drugs for MS and NMOSD. DMT-associated risks may depend on the specific population that receives the treatment; accordingly, strategies of prevention and management of infections in specific MS populations were also discussed. Finally, given the exceptional and challenging times we are experiencing in the context of Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19) due to SARS-CoV-2 infection, the management of DMT-treated MS and NMOSD patients during the current COVID pandemic was also discussed. This manuscript summarizes the discussions during this workshop, and identifies gaps in knowledge which justify further research. Vaccines in people with MS and NMOSD on DMTs will be summarized in a separate review.

Infections associated with disease-modifying treatments

Understanding the mechanism of action (MoA) of the MS and NMOSD drugs is crucial for understanding the type of immunity impairment correlated with a specific treatment. Table 1 shows the leading medications for MS and NMOSD (except for injectables) and the associated risks of infection observed in the main clinical trials. Below we describe the main infectious risks associated with the main drugs available for MS and NMOSD. Table 2 shows some recommendations related to risk mitigation strategies in relation to infections that can occur in the context of DMT usage. Table 2 also includes DMT-specific considerations related to COVID-19. Table 3 shows the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) associated with the different DMTs. Finally, Table 4 describes COVID-19 outcomes in patients with MS and risk factors of higher severity.

Teriflunomide

Teriflunomide is a dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibitor. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase is a key mitochondrial enzyme in the de novo pyrimidine synthesis that is required by rapidly dividing cells. This means that teriflunomide interferes with the pyrimidine metabolism selectively in activated lymphocytes, and presumably in auto-reactive lymphocytes. Side effects of teriflunomide may include mild to moderate lymphopenia and neutropenia, although these effects were rare-or very rare-in the phase III trials of the drug, and mainly appeared during the first 3 months of treatment.³⁶ All in all, in the trials, teriflunomide was not associated with an increased risk of infections, which were generally mild for all treatment arms. No clear opportunistic infections were observed either (Table 1).6-8

Dimethyl fumarate

Dimethyl fumarate was approved to treat relapsing MS in 2013, after two successful phase III trials, the CONFIRM and DEFINE studies.^{9,60} Although the exact MoA of dimethyl fumarate is unknown, it seems to cause immunomodulation by activating the intracellular Nrf2 pathway.³⁶ Lymphopenia occurs in 37% of patients, but severe lymphopenia (lymphocyte count <500/mm³) only occurs in 8% of them.

In the phase III trials, the incidence of infections was similar in dimethyl fumarate and placebo arms (Table 1). However, the 9-year follow-up ENDORSE study¹⁰ showed that prolonged periods of severe lymphopenia due to dimethyl fumarate treatment were associated with an increased risk of serious and opportunistic infections.36 To date, nine cases of PML have been reported, mainly in the context of persistent (for more than 6 months) moderate-severe lymphopenia (Table 3). Other opportunistic infections associated with dimethyl fumarate treatment, within and outside the central nervous system (CNS), have also been reported,10,57 in particular Varicella-Zoster virus (VZV) (within and outside CNS), followed by Herpes Simplex virus (HSV) (within and outside CNS), cytomegalovirus (outside CNS), Cryptosporidium species (outside CNS), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (outside CNS), and Candida species (outside CNS).57

Tobias Derfuss

Matthias Mehling Neurology Clinic and Policlinic, Departments of Medicine, Clinical Research and Biomedicine and Research Center for Clinical Neuroimmunology and Neuroscience Basel, University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Franziska Di Pauli

Clinical Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

Ellen Iacobaeus

Fredrik Piehl Division of Neurology, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Marcin Mycko

Department of Neurology, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, Poland

Hesham Abboud

Multiple Sclerosis and Neuroimmunology Program, University Hospitals of Cleveland, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA

Anat Achiron

Sheba Medical Center at Tel Hashomer and Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Angelo Bellinvia Mattia Fonderico

Emilio Portaccio Department of NEUROFARBA, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Alexey Boyko

Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Medical Genetics, Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russia/ Institute of Clinical Neurology and Department of Neuroimmunology, Federal Center of Brain Research and Neurotechnologies, Moscow, Russia

Jean-Laurent Casanova

St. Giles Laboratory of Human Genetics of Infectious Diseases, Rockefeller Branch, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

David Clifford

Department of Neurology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA

Ruth Dobson

Preventive Neurology Unit, Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK/

Independential of disease-modifying treatments currently in use for MS and NMOSD.DNT Name of trialTrialAnd treatments currently in use for MS and NMOSD. DNT Name of trialTrialMane of trialInfection of section o	: (ICM), yonne Brain xssistance x de Paris, ôpital de 2, CIC aris, France	n-Frénay Azur, CHU , UR2CA- é Nice Côte nce sité, MR7225, u et de	ity of Basel, d iment, ntónio dos Hospitalar yoa Central,	terology, ter Isar, rssität , Germany; or Systems rgy), / e and ments of 1 Research, Biomedical	on, Queen iclerosis tute of on, UK eurology, Bochum, y ter urology,	e, Milan, San ty, Milan, erald urrology γ, Johns ty, JSA urrology, nis, Tunisia	urology, spital, ' Trust, z ch on ical Buenos on Unit ogy an Raffaele
Nume of trial terference)Trial work earn% of patients with infectionInfections described in active armInfections described in active armInfections described in active armInfections described in active armfilunomideTEMSO studyRMS (withTFL 7 and 14 mg: similar to placeboPlacebor: notNasopharyngits, UTL, and 14 mg: active armNasopharyngits, UTL, and Nasopharyngits, UTL, and Nasopha	Table 1. Infections r	eported in phase III (and n	nain phase II) clinice	al trials of disease-modify	ing treatments currently in	use for MS and NMOSD.	
Optimized Departing Active arm Control arm Active arm Control arm Control arm Active arm Control arm Control arm Active arm <	DMT	Name of trial	Trial	% of patients with inf	ection	Infections described in	Increased infection risk in
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		(release)	population	Active arm	Control arm	active arm (% patients affected)	acuve arm while respect to control arm
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		TEMSO study (O'Connor et al. ⁶)	RMS (with or without progression) <i>N</i> =1088	TFL 7 and 14 mg: similar to placebo	Placebo: not specified	Nasopharyngitis,ª UTI,ª influenzaª	No increased risk
TeriflutionTOWER studyRMS (with relitionTEL 7mg: 48% to withoutPlacebo: 51% the URTI (9%), b UTI (8%) b UTI (8%) b UTI (8%), b UTI (8%), b UTI (8%), b UTI (8%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (8%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), b UTI (13%), D	- Teriflunomide	TENERE study (Vermersch et al. ⁷)	RMS (with or without progression) N=324	TFL 7mg: 64.5% TFL 14mg: 49.1%	IFNb-1a: 46.5%	Nasopharyngitis (23%), TB (1 case, <1%)	No increased risk
DimethylCONFIRM studyRRMSBG-12 BD: 56%GA: 50%Nasopharyngitis (17%), UTI (13%), URTIfumarate(Fox et al.9) $N = 1430$ BG-12 TDS: 56%GA: 50%UTI (13%), URTIfumarate(Fox et al.9) $N = 1430$ BG-12 BD: 64%Placebo: 50%UTI (13%), ^b URTIDimethylDEFINE studyRRMSBG-12 BD: 64%Placebo: 55%Nasopharyngitis, ^a URTI, ^a DimethylDEFINE studyRRMSBG-12 TDS: 68%Nasopharyngitis, ^a URTI, ^a S1P receptorTRANSFORMSRRMSFTY pooled (1.25IFNb-1a: 51%-Nasopharyngitis (21%), ^b S1P receptorTRANSFORMSRRMSFTY pooled (1.25S3%(10%), ^b UTI (6%), ^b berpesfingolimodet al.1) $N = 1292$ and 0.5 mg):53%(7%), ^b UTI (6%), ^b berpesfingolimodet al.1) $N = 1272$ $0.5 mg): 69\%$ Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b S1P receptorFREEDOMS studyRRMSFTY pooled $1.25m$ Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b fingolimodfingolimodet al.1) $N = 1272$ $0.5 mg): 69\%$ Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b fingolimodfingolimodfor al.1) $N = 1272$ $0.5 mg): 69\%$ Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b fingolimodfingolimodfor al.1) $N = 1272$ $0.5 mg): 69\%$ Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b fingolimodfingolimodfor al.1) $N = 1272$ $0.5 mg): 69\%$ Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b fingolimodfingolimodfor al.1) $N = 1272$ $0.5 mg): 69\%$ Nasop	- Teriflunomide	TOWER study (Confavreux et al. ⁸)	RMS (with or without progression) N=1169	TFL 7mg: 48% TFL 14mg: 44%	Placebo: 51%	Nasopharyngitis (12%), ^b URTI (9%), ^b UTI (8%) ^b	No increased risk
DimethylDEFINE studyRRMSBG-12 BD: 64%Placebo: 65%Nasopharyngitis, URTI,afumarate(Gold et al. ¹⁰) $N = 1237$ BG-12 TDS: 68%UTI,a influenzaSIP receptorTRANSFORMSRRMSFTY pooled (1.25IFNb-1a: 51%-Nasopharyngitis, URTI,aSIP receptorTRANSFORMSRRMSFTY pooled (1.25IFNb-1a: 51%-Nasopharyngitis, URTI,afingolimodet al. ¹¹) $N = 1292$ and 0.5 mg):53%cUTI (6%),b influenzafingolimodet al. ¹¹) $S = 1292$ and 0.5 mg): $S = 33\%c$ UTI (6%),b influenzafingolimodet al. ¹¹) $S = 1292$ and 0.5 mg): $S = 33\%c$ $UTI (6\%),b influenzafingolimodet al.11)S = 1292and 0.5 mg):S = 33\%cUTI (6\%),b influenzafingolimodet al.11)S = 1292and 0.5 mg):S = 33\%cUTI (6\%),b influenzafingolimodet al.12)N = 1272(1.25 mg and(1.25 mg and(1.9\%),b influenzafingolimodfingolimod(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenzafingolimodfingolimod(1.25 mg and(1.25 mg and(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenzafingolimodfingolimod(1.25 mg and(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenzafingolimodfingolimod(1.25 mg and(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenza(1.9\%),b influenzafingolimodfingolimodfingolimodfingolimodfingolimod$	- Dimethyl fumarate	CONFIRM study (Fox et al. ⁹)	RRMS N=1430	BG-12 BD: 56% BG-12 TDS: 56%	GA: 50% Placebo: 50%	Nasopharyngitis (17%), ^b UTI (13%), ^b URTI (12%) ^b	No increased risk
SIP receptorTRANSFORMSRRMSFTY pooled (1.25IFNb-1a: 51%- 53%Nasopharyngits (21%), b UTI (8%), b influenzamodulator:study (Cohen $N = 1292$ and 0.5 mg):53%URTI (8%), b UTI (6%), b UTI (6%), b Herpesfingolimodet al. ¹¹) $N = 1292$ and 0.5 mg):53%URTI (8%), b UTI (6%), b Herpesfingolimodet al. ¹¹) $N = 1292$ and 0.5 mg):53% $(7\%), b$ UTI (6%), b Herpesfingolimodet al. ¹¹) $N = 1292$ and 0.5 mg): $(7\%), b$ UTI (6%), b herpesSIP receptorFREEDOMS studyRRMSFTY pooledPlacebo: 72%Nasopharyngits (27%), b URTI (18%), influenzafingolimod $(1.25 mg and$ $(1.25 mg and$ $(1.25 mg and$ $(11\%), b$ LRTI (11%), b herpes viral infectionsfingolimod $(7\%), b$ UTI (6%) $(7\%), b$ UTI (6%) $(7\%), b$ UTI (6%)		DEFINE study (Gold et al. ¹⁰)	RRMS $N=1237$	BG-12 BD: 64% BG-12 TDS: 68%	Placebo: 65%	Nasopharyngitis,ª URTI,ª UTI,ª influenzaª	No increased risk
S1P receptorFREEDOMS studyRRMSFTY pooledPlacebo: 72%Nasopharyngitis (27%),bmodulator:(Kappos et al. ¹²)N=1272(1.25 mg andURTI (18%), influenzafingolimod0.5 mg): 69%0.5 mg): 69%herpes viral infections(7%),bUTI (6%)UTI (6%)		TRANSFORMS study (Cohen et al. ¹¹)	RRMS N=1292	FTY pooled (1.25 and 0.5 mg): 51%–53%°	IFNb-1a: 51% 53%	Nasopharyngitis (21%), ^b URTI (8%), ^b influenza (7%), ^b UTI (6%), ^b herpes viral infections (FTY 1.25 mg: 5.5%; FTY 0.5 mg: 2.1%)	No increased risk except for herpes viral infection in FTY 1.25 mg arm
		FREEDOMS study (Kappos et al. ¹²)	RRMS N=1272	FTY pooled (1.25 mg and 0.5 mg): 69%	Placebo: 72%	Nasopharyngitis (27%), ^b URTI (18%), influenza (11%), ^b LRTI (11%), ^b herpes viral infections (7%), ^b UTI (6%) ^b	No increased risk except for LRTI, especially for FTY 1.25 mg arm

Department of Neurology Royal London Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK

Mauricio F Farez Center for Research on Neuroimmunological Diseases, FLENI, Buen Aires, Argentina

Massimo Filippi

Neurology Unit, Neurorehabilitation Unit and Neurophysiology Service, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy/Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

Kathryn C Fitzgerald

Department of Neurology and Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

Riadh Gouider Department of Neurology, Razi Hospital, Tunis, Tunisia

Yael Hacohen

Department of Neuroinflammation, Queen Square Multiple Sclerosis Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK

Kerstin Hellwig Department of Neurology, St. Josef Hospital, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany

Bernhard Hemmer Department of Neurology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany; Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany

Ludwig Kappos

Neurologic Clinic and Policlinic, Departments of Medicine, Clinical Research, Biomedicine, and Biomedical Engineering, University Hospital, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Filipa Ladeira

Neurology Department, Hospital Santo António dos Capuchos, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal

Christine Lebrun-Frénay

CRCSEP Côte d'Azur, CHU de Nice Pasteur 2, UR2CA-URRIS, Université Nice Côte d'Azur, Nice, France

Céline Louapre

Caroline Papeix Sorbonne Université, Inserm, CNRS, UMR7225, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière (ICM), Paris, France/Sorbonne University, Paris Brain Institute—ICM, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Inserm, CNRS, Hôpital de la Pitié Salpétrière, CIC Neurosciences, Paris, France

Table I. (Continued)						
DMT	Name of trial	Trial	% of patients with infection	ction	Infections described in	Increased infection risk in
	(reierence)	population	Active arm	Control arm	active arm (% patients affected)	acuve arm with respect to control arm
- S1P receptor modulator: fingolimod	FREEDOMS II study (Calabresi et al. ¹³)	RRMS N=1083	FTY 1.25 mg: 73% FTY 0.5 mg: 74%	Placebo: 72%	URTI (25%), ^b nasopharyngitis (24%), ^b sinusitis (14%), ^b influenza (9%), ^b LRTI (12%), ^b herpes viral infections (9%), ^b UTI (14%) ^b	No increased risk except for herpes viral infections, especially for FTY 1.25 mg arm
- S1P receptor modulator: fingolimod	INFORMS study (Lublin et al. ¹⁴)	N=970	FTY 0.5 mg: similar to previous studies with FTY°	Placebo: similar to previous studies with FTY ^c	Nasopharyngitis (23%), UTI (15%), URTI (11%), influenza (9%), LRTI (7%), herpes viral (VZV) infection (3%)	No increased risk
- S1P receptor modulator: siponimod	EXPAND study (Kappos et al. ¹⁵)	SPMS <i>N</i> =1651	BAF312: 49%	Placebo: 49%	Nasopharyngitis (14%), UTI (12%), herpes viral infections (5%), including VZV reactivations (2%)	No increased risk except for VZV reactivations
- S1P receptor modulator: ozanimod	SUNBEAM study (Comi et al. ¹⁶)	RMS <i>N</i> =1346	Ozanimod 0.5 and 1.0 mg: similar to IFNb-1a (range: 26.7–18.9%)	IFNb-1a: similar to ozanimod (range: 26.7%– 18.9%)	Nasopharyngitis (7%– 10%), URTI (7%–9%), UTI (2%–4%)	No increased risk
- S1P receptor modulator: ozanimod	RADIANCE study (Cohen et al. ¹⁷)	RMS N=1695	Ozanimod 0.5 and 1.0 mg: similar to IFNb-1a	IFNb-1a: similar to ozanimod	Nasopharyngitis (13% 16%), UTI (4%5%)	No increased risk (except for slightly higher risk of nasopharyngitis in ozanimod groups, i.e., 0.5 and 1.0 mg)
- S1P receptor modulator: ponesimod	OPTIMUM (Kappos et al. ¹⁸)	RMS (with or without progression) N=1133	Ponesimod: similar to teriflunomide	Teriflunomide: similar to ponesimod	Nasopharyngitis (19%), URTI (11%)	No clearly increased risk
						(Continued)

Melinda Magyari Department of Neurology, Danish Multiple Sclerosis Center, Copenhagen

University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark Celia Oreja-Guevara

Department of Neurology, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Idisse, Departamento de Medicina, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Lekha Pandit

Center for Advanced Neurological Research, KS Hegde Medical Academy, Nitte (Deemed to be University), Mangalore, India

Isabel Ruiz-Camps

Servicio de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

Krzysztof Selmaj

Collegium Medicum, Department of Neurology, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, Poland/Center of Neurology, Lodz, Poland

Steve Simpson-Yap

Clinical Outcomes Research Unit, The Royal Melbourne Hospital, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Aksel Siva

Department of Neurology, Istanbul University Cerrahpasa School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Per Soelberg Sorensen

Department of Neurology, Danish Multiple Sclerosis Center, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

Maria Pia Sormani

Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa and IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy

Maria Trojano

Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neuroscience and Sense Organs, University of Bari "Aldo Moro," Bari, Italy

Adi Vaknin-Dembinsky

Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Department of Neurology, The Agnes-Ginges Center for Neurogenetics Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

Sandra Vukusic

Service de neurologie, sclérose en plaques, pathologies de la myéline et neuro-inflammation, Hôpital Neurologique Pierre Wertheimer, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France/

Infections described in active arm (% patients Nasopharyngitis (14%)^b,

Cladribine

ī

affected)

Control arm

Active arm

population

Trial

Name of trial

DMT

(reference)

% of patients with infection

viral infections, mainly

URTI (12%)^b, herpes

reactivation (one case,

<1%)^b

VZV (2%)^b, TBC

Multiple Sclerosis Journal 28(9)

MN, USA

Rodas

Colombia

authors

Heinz Wiendl Department of Neurology with Institute of Translational Neurology, University of Muenster, Münster, Germany

Alexander Winkelmann

Department of Neurology,

University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany María Isabel Zuluaga

MS Clinic, Instituto Neurológico de Colombia and Medicarte, Medellin,

*Carmen Tur and Anne-Laure Dubessy Joint first

[†]Mar Tintoré and Bruno

Stankoff Joint last authors.

dribine	CLARITY study (Giovannoni et al. ¹⁹)	RRMS N=1326	CLA 3.5mg: 48% CLA 5.25mg: 49%	Placebo: 43%
clonal antibodies unti-α4	s AFFIRM study	RRMS	%67 :ZTN	Placebo: 79%

anti
Monoclonal

ATOHOO INTRE ATTROOMING	COT.			
- Anti-α4	AFFIRM study	RRMS	NTZ: 79%	Placebo: 79%
integrin: natalizumab	(Polman et al. ^{20})	N = 942		
- Anti-α4	SENTINEL study	RRMS	NTZ + IFNb-1a:	IFNb-1a: 81 ^o
integrin:	(Rudick et al. ²¹)	N = 1171	83%	
natalizumab				

Increased risk of: overall	rate of infections, all	individual types of	infections, especially	herpes viral infections, and
Increa	rate of	indivie	infecti	herpes

serious infectious AE

disseminated TB (1 case) Nasopharyngitis (30%),^b

including herpes simplex

virus (13%) and VZV

(4%),^d URTI (15%).

viral infections (16%),

UTI (17%), herpes

Nasopharyngitis (20%),

IFNb-1a: 45%

ALZ: 67%

naïve RRMS

(Cohen et al.²²)

alemtuzumab

Anti-CD52:

CARE-MS I

N = 581

Treatment

after trial)

No increased risk, except

No increased risk

JTI (20%), LRTI (17%),

for JCV-PML cases

[17%) UTI (<1%), PMI (2 cases: 1 during and 1

Nasopharyngitis (39%), URTI (15%), influenza

%

(10%), Tonsilitis (7%)

GE (11%), Vaginitis

of infections, all individual ALZ arms, which behaved similarly) of: overall rate infections, and serious Increased risk (in both especially herpes viral types of infections, infectious AE

(6%),^{b,e}, sinusitis (13%),^b

nfluenza (10%)^b

including herpes simplex

(17%),^b herpes viral

infections (16%),^b

UTI (22%),^b URTI

IFNb-1a: 66%

ALZ 12 mg: 77% ALZ 24 mg: 83%

treated RRMS

(Coles et al.²³) CARE-MS II

alemtuzumab

Anti-CD52:

N = 840

Previously

virus (9%)^b and VZV

(Continued)

DIM I	Name of trial	Trial	% of patients with infection	ection	Infections described in	Increased infection risk in
	(reterence)	population	Active arm	Control arm	active arm (% patients affected)	active arm with respect to control arm
- Anti-CD20: rituximab	HERMES study, phase II (Hauser et al. ²⁴)	RRMS <i>N</i> =104	RTX: 70%	Placebo: 71%	Nasopharyngitis (20%), URTI (19%), UTI (15%), sinusitis (13%), influenza (6%); no opportunistic infections reported	Increased risk of UTI (15% vs 9%) and sinusitis (13% vs 9%); no increased risk of infection-related AE
- Anti-CD20: rituximab	OLYMPUS study, phase II-III (Hawker et al. ²⁵)	PPMS <i>N</i> =439	RTX: 68%	Placebo: 65%	LRTI (pneumonia, bronchitis), UTI, pyelonephritis (1 case), cellulitis (2 cases), diverticulitis (1 case), meningitis (1 case), E. coli bacteriemia (1 case), influenza (1 case)	Increased risk of infection- related serious AE (4% vs <1%)
- Anti-CD20: rituximab	RIN-1 study, phase II/III (Tahara et al. ²⁶)	NMOSD $N=38$	RTX: not specified (possibly ≥58%)ª	Placebo: not specified (possibly ≥52%)ª	Nasopharyngitis (37%), URTI (21%)	Possibly increased risk of URTI (21% vs 5%), although low numbers
- Anti-CD20: ocrelizumab	OPERA I and II studies (Hauser et al. ²⁷)	RMS N=821 (OPERA I) N=835 (OPERA II)	OCR: 59% (pooled OPERA I and II)	IFNb-1a: 53% (pooled OPERA I and II)	Nasopharyngitis (15%), URTI (15%), UTI (12%), herpes viral infections (6%), including VZV (2%) and oral herpes (3%) infections; no opportunistic infections reported	Slightly increased risk of nasopharyngitis (15% vs 10%), URTI (15% vs 11%), and herpes virus infections (6% vs 3%)
- Anti-CD19: inebilizumab	N-Momentum study, phase II/III (Cree et al. ²⁸)	NMOSD N=230	IBZ: not specified ^a	Placebo: not specified ^a	UTI (12%), nasopharyngitis (7%), URTI (3%), herpes virus infection, mainly oral herpes (1%); no opportunistic infections reported	Slightly increased risk of UTI (12% vs 9%)
- Anti-IL6: satralizumab	SAkuraStar study, phase III (Traboulsee et al. ²⁹)	NMOSD N=168	STZ: 54%	Placebo: 44%	UTI (17.5%), URTI (15.9%), nasopharyngitis (14.3%); no opportunistic infections reported	No clearly increased risk

able I. (Continued)						
DMT	Name of trial	Trial	% of patients with infection	fection	Infections described in	Increased infection risk in
	(reference)	population	Active arm	Control arm	active arm (% patients affected)	active arm with respect to control arm
- Anti-IL6: satralizumab	SAkuraSky study, phase III (Yamamura et al. ³⁰)	NMOSD N=83	STZ + IS [†] : 68%	IS: 62%	Nasopharyngitis (24%), URTI (24%), UTI (17%); no opportunistic infections reported	No increased risk
- Anti-IL6: tocilizumab	TANGO study, phase II (Zhang et al. ³¹)	NMOSD N=118	TCZ: 66%	AZA: 73%	URTI (29%), UTI (29%); no opportunistic infections reported	No increased risk
- Anti-C5: eculizumab	PREVENT study, phase III (Pittock et al. ³²)	NMOSD N=143	ECZ (±IS): not specified ^a	Placebo (±IS): not specified ^a	Upper respiratory tract infection (29%), nasopharyngitis (21%), UTI (14%); 1 patient in the ECZ group who was also receiving azathioprine died during the open-label extension study due to a pulmonary empyema	Increased risk of URTI (29% vs 13%). NB: due to the known higher risk of infections by capsulate bacteria associated with ECZ, all patients had been vaccinated against <i>Neisseria meningtitdis</i> before study initiation
DMT: disease-modifying treatm remitting multiple sclerosis; BG infection; PPMS: primary progr leukoencephalopathy; JCV-PMI IBZ: inebilizumab; STZ: satralis SPMS: secondary progressive m aThe exact number of cases (and booled active arms. eNo more information provided. dVZV infection includes: 12 cas eVZV infection includes: 12 cas fIS could include oral glucocorti fIS could include oral glucocorti	DMT: disease-modifying treatment; RMS: relapsing multiple sclerosis; TFL: teriflunomide; UTI: urinary tra- termitting multiple sclerosis; BG-12 BD: dimethyl fumarate twice daily; BG-12 TDS: dimethyl fumarate thra- infection; PPMS: primary progressive multiple sclerosis; VZV: Varicella-Zoster virus; BAF312: siponimod; leukoencephalopathy; JCV-PML: JC virus-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; ALZ: al. IBZ: inebilizumab; STZ: satralizumab; IS: immunosuppressant; TCZ: tocilizumab; AZA: azathioprine; ECZ SPMS: secondary progressive multiple sclerosis; GE: gastroenteritis; RTX: rituximab; NB: nota bene (latin) "The exact number of cases (and %) are not specified. "Pooled active arms. "Vo more information provided. "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (3% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 1 case (<1%) o "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (6% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 2 cases (<1%) o "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (6% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 2 cases (<1%) o "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (5% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 2 cases (<1%) o	multiple sclerosis; TFL: narate twice daily; BG- sis; VZV: Varicella-Zoo progressive multifocal ppressant; TCZ: tociliz gastroenteritis; RTX: r 'VZV reactivation (her yycophenolate mofetil,	: teriflunomide; UTI: urin. 12 TDS: dimethyl fumara ster virus; BAF312: sipon leukoencephalopathy; AI umab; AZA: azathioprine ituximab; NB: nota bene (jes zoster) and 1 case (<1 pes zoster) and 2 cases (< azathioprine plus glucoco	DMT: disease-modifying treatment; RMS: relapsing multiple sclerosis; TFL: teriflunomide; UTT: urinary tract infection; TB: tuberculosis; URTT: upper respir termitting multiple sclerosis; BG-12 BD: dimethyl fumarate twice daily; BG-12 TDS: dimethyl fumarate thrice daily; GA: glatiramer acetate; FTY: fingolimod infection; PPMS: primary progressive multiple sclerosis; VZY: Varicella-Zoster virus; BAF312: siponimod; CLA: cladribine; AE: adverse event; NTZ: mataliz leukoencephalopathy; JCV-PML: JC virus-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; ALZ: alemtuzumab; NMOSD: neuromyelitis optica spectn IBZ: inebilizumab; STZ: satralizumab; IS: immunosuppressant; TCZ: tocilizumab; AZA: azathioprine; ECZ: eculizumab; SIP: sphingosine 1-phosphate; IFNI SPMS: secondary progressive multiple sclerosis; GE: gastroenteritis; RTX: rituximab; NB: nota bene (latin). "The exact number of cases (and %) are not specified. "Pooled active arms. "Vo nore information provided." "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (3% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 1 case (<1%) of VZV primoinfection (varicella). "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (6% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 1 case (<1%) of VZV primoinfection (varicella). "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (6% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 2 cases (<1%) of VZV primoinfection (varicella).	DMT: disease-modifying treatment; RMS: relapsing multiple sclerosis; TFL: teriflunomide; UTI: urinary tract infection; TB: tuberculosis, URTI: upper respiratory tract infection, RRMS: relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis; BG-12 BD: dimethyl fumarate twice daily; BG-12 TDS: dimethyl fumarate thrice daily; GA: glatiramer acetate; FTY: fingolimod; LRTI: lower respiratory tract infection; PPMS: primary progressive multiple sclerosis; VZV: Varicella-Zoster virus; BAF312: siponimod; CLA: cladribine; AE: adverse event; NTZ: natalizumab; PML: progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; JCV-PML: JC virus-asociated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; ALZ: alemtuzumab; NMOSD: neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder; OCR: ocrelizumab; BPMS: secondary progressive multiple sclerosis; GE: gastroenteritis; RTX: rituximab; NB: nota bene (latin). STZ: satralizumab; IS: immunosuppressant; TCZ: tocilizumab; AZA: azathioprine; ECZ: eculizumab; S1P: sphingosine 1-phosphate; IFNb: interferon beta; TBC: tuberculosis; SPMS: secondary progressive multiple sclerosis; GE: gastroenteritis; RTX: rituximab; NB: nota bene (latin). "The exact number of cases (and %) are not specified. "Provemention provided." "Provement" active arms. "Noosine 11-phosphate; IFNb: interferon beta; TBC: tuberculosis; CE: gastroenteritis; RTX: rituximab; NB: nota bene (latin). "The exact number of cases (and %) are not specified." "Provemention provided." "Provemention provided." "VZV infection includes: 12 cases (3% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 1 case (<1%) of VZV primoinfection (varicella). "Cases (6% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 2 cases (<1%) of VZV primoinfection (varicella). "Succella]." addition (varicella). "Succella]." "Provemention provided." "VZV infection includes: 38 cases (6% of patients) of VZV reactivation (herpes zoster) and 2 cases (<1%) of VZV primoinfection (varicella)." "Succella]." "Succella]." addition (varicella)." "Succella]." "Succella]." "Succella]." "Succella]." "Succella]." "Succe	nfection; RRMS: relapsing ver respiratory tract L: progressive multifocal r; OCR: ocrelizumab; n beta; TBC: tuberculosis;

journals.sagepub.com/home/msj

During DMT
Annual vaccination
No association with SARS-CoV-2 infection or severe COVID-19 ^{34,35} Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or vaccine booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) COVID-19 vaccine expected to trigger a satisfactory humoral and cellular immune response ³⁴
Thorax X-ray monitoring
Risk of severe COVID-19 is small in patients with mild (counts above 800/mm ³) lymphopenia ³⁶ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or vaccine booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) COVID-19 vaccine expected to trigger a satisfactory humoral and cellular immune response, especially if there is no lymphopenia ^{37,38}
Low threshold of suspicion when finding meningeal signs ^a Consider <i>Cryptococcus</i> especially if age >50 years and prolonged treatment Monitoring of lymphopenia
Thorax X-ray monitoring
No specific anti-PML monitoring strategy unless patient has switched from NTZ due to JCV seroconversion: in that case, increased MRI monitoring during the first (6–12) months (more data are needed)
versio neede

Main potential	Risk minimization strategies	
infection risks	Before starting DMT	During DMT
VZV VPV	 VZV Ab screening; vaccination if negative IgG Screening (Pap smear test) Referral to specialized unit if positive; vaccination 	 Monitoring of lymphopenia Increased HPV screening program
SARS-CoV-2	• COVID-19 vaccine	 No association with SARS-CoV-2 infection or severe COVID-19³⁴ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or vaccine booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) FTY treatment may imply reduced cellular and humoral responses to COVID-19 vaccine,³⁹ patients should be advised to reinforce anti-COVID protective measures
Cryptococcus	1	 Low threshold of suspicion when finding meningeal signs Consider <i>Cryptococcus</i> especially if age >50 years and prolonged treatment Monitoring of lymphopenia
Candida	1	 Monitoring of mucocutaneous candidiasis Monitoring of lymphopenia
Tuberculosis	 Tuberculin skin test or IGRA Thorax X-ray in patients with positive results TB treatment if positive 	• Thorax X-ray monitoring
Cladribine		
HSV	1	 Prophylaxis with acyclovir 200 mg bd if grade IV lymphopenia
VZV	• VZV Ab screening; vaccination if negative IgG	Monitoring of lymphopenia
SARS-CoV-2	 COVID-19 vaccine If patient not yet on cladribine, the start of the drug may be delayed 2–4 weeks after complete vaccination 	 Possibly increased risk of infection and reinfection for SARS-CoV-2 Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) Cladribine is associated with a reduced cellular response to COVID-19 vaccine if administered before immune reconstitution,³⁶ therefore, COVID-19 vaccine/booster needs to be administered preferably after immune reconstitution
Tuberculosis	 Tuberculin skin test or IGRA Thorax X-ray in patients with positive results TB treatment if positive 	• Thorax X-ray monitoring
<i>Nocardia</i> spp. Natalizumab	1	Monitoring of lymphopenia
JCV-PML	 Screening anti-JCV lgG and index for patients on natalizumab 	 Increased MRI surveillance Monitoring of anti-JCV antibodies and index for patients on natalizumab Extended dosage (6 weeks for natalizumab) Switch to a low-PML risk drug
ASH	1	• Prophylaxis with acyclovir 200 mg bd if grade IV lymphopenia

Main potential	Risk minimization strategies	
infection risks	Before starting DMT	During DMT
VZV SARS-CoV-2	 VZV Ab screening; vaccination if negative lgG COVID-19 vaccine 	 Monitoring of lymphopenia (if present) No increased risk of SARS-CoV2 infection or severe COVID-19³⁴ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) COVID-19 vaccine expected to trigger a satisfactory humoral and cellular immune response³⁷
Cryptococcus	1	 Low threshold of suspicion when finding meningeal signs Consider <i>Cryptococcus</i> especially if age >50 years and prolonged treatment Monitoring of lymphopenia (if present)
Candida Alemtuzumab	I	 Monitoring of mucocutaneous candidiasis Monitoring of lymphopenia (if present)
HSV	I	 Prophylaxis with acyclovir 200 mg bd if grade IV lymphopenia or during 28 days after each alemtuzumab course
VZV HPV	 VZV Ab screening; vaccination if negative lgG Screening (Pap smear test) Referral to specialized unit if positive; vaccination 	 Monitoring of lymphopenia Increased HPV screening program
HAV, HBV, HCV	 Ab/Ag screening (anti-HAV IgG, HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc, anti-HCV Ab) Vaccination against HAV and HBV if seronegative Referral to specialized unit if positive 	1
CMV	-	 Monitoring of viral load every 3 months or more frequently (suggested)
SARS-CoV-2	 COVID-19 vaccine If patient not yet on ALZ, the start of the drug may be delayed 2–4 weeks after complete vaccination 	 Possibly increased risk of infection and reinfection for SARS-CoV-2, and severe COVID-19; susceptibility may be greatest during the first 6 months after an alemtuzumab cycle³⁶ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or vaccine booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) preferably after immune reconstitution: although COVID-19 vaccine is expected to trigger a satisfactory humoral and cellular immune response, vaccine response is likely best when administered at least 6 months after the last alemtuzumab dose³⁷
Candida	1	 Monitoring of mucocutaneous candidiasis Monitoring of lymphopenia
Tuberculosis	 Tuberculin skin test or IGRA Thorax X-ray in patients with positive results TB treatment if positive 	Thorax X-ray monitoring

Main potential	Risk minimization strategies	
intection risks	Before starting DMT	During DMT
Listeria monocytogenes Moandia swo	1	Free <i>Listeria</i> diet and/or cotrimoxazole 960 mg three times per week for 1 month after each cycle of alemtuzumab Monitoring of humbonenia
JCV-PML	 Anti-CD20 therapies: rituximab and ocrelizumab JCV-PML Screening anti-JCV IgG and index (not mandatory but recommended, given that later hypogammaglobulinemia can interfere with testino) 	
ASH	(G	• Prophylaxis with acyclovir 200 mg bd if grade IV lymphopenia
VZV	 VZV Ab screening Vaccination if negative IgG 	Monitoring of lymphopenia
HAV, HBV, HCV	 Ab/Ag screening (anti-HAV IgG, HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc, anti-HCV Ab) Vaccination against HAV and HBV if seronegative; referral to specialized unit if positive 	1
SARS-CoV-2	 COVID-19 vaccine If patient not yet on anti-CD20, the start of the DMT may be delayed 4 weeks after complete vaccination 	 Increased risk of infection and reinfection for SARS-CoV-2 and severe COVID-19⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) Anti-CD20 drugs are associated with a reduced humoral response to COVID-19 vaccine ⁴⁶ at least up to 4 months after the second vaccine dose.⁴⁶ Instead, the cellular response to COVID-19 vaccine seems to he meserved ^{46,47}
		 To optimize chances of developing a humoral response, COVID-19 vaccine/booster should be administered at least 6 months (and preferably 9 months) after the last anti-CD20 drug infusion, and at least 4 weeks before the next one
		 Consider prophylaxis with SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies⁴⁸ for contacts of COVID-19-positive subjects if no optimal vaccine response has been achieved For anti-CD20 drugs:
		 check IgG/M/A before each infusion; if hypogammaglobulinemia exists, consider IVIG; consider extended dosage; however, caution must be advised regarding extended dosing in NMOSD patients (risk of severe/disabling attacks)
Candida	1	 Monitoring of mucocutaneous candidiasis Monitoring of lymphopenia

Table 2. (Continued)		
Main potential	Risk minimization strategies	
intection risks	Before starting DMT	During DMT
Anti-CD19 drugs: inebilizumab SARS-CoV-2 • COVI • If pati DMT vaccir	 nebilizumab COVID-19 vaccine If patient not yet on anti-CD19, the start of the DMT may be delayed 4 weeks after complete vaccination 	 Possibly increased risk of infection and reinfection for SARS-CoV-2 and severe COVID-19, given its mechanism of action⁴⁹ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) COVID-19/booster needs to be administered preferably at least 6 months after the last anti-CD19 infusion For anti-CD19 drugs: check IgG/M/A before each infusion; if hypogammaglobulinemia exists, consider IVIG; consider extended dosage; however, caution must be advised regarding extended dosing in NMOSD patients (risk of severe/disabling attacks)
Candida	I	 Monitoring of mucocutaneous candidiasis Monitoring of lymphopenia
Anti-IL-6 receptor: SARS-CoV-2	Anti-IL-6 receptor: satralizumab and tocilizumab SARS-CoV-2 • COVID-19 vaccine	 A priori, no increased risk of SARS-CoV2 infection or severe COVID-19⁴⁹ Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies) In selected cases (high IL-6 levels and respiratory insufficiency), tocilizumab might be beneficial to treat COVID-associated inflammatory syndrome^{50,51}
Anti-C5 drugs: eculizumab SARS-CoV-2	 COVID-19 vaccine 	• A priori, no increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection or severe COVID-1952.53
		Recommendation of COVID-19 vaccine (if vaccination not done before DMT onset) or booster (if/when indicated by regulatory agencies)
Neisseria meningitidis	 Vaccination against Neisseria meningitides (serogroup B (MenB) and quadrivalent conjugate vaccine (MACWY135))^b 	
Haemophilus influenzae	• Vaccination against <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> ^c	1
DMT: disease-modify coronavirus disease 15 leukoencephalopathy; HAV: hepatitis A virus: disorder; IL-6: interlet ^a First cases of cryptocu ^b Following general gui ^c Haemophilus influenz	DMT: disease-modifying treatment; Ab: antibody; IgG: immunoglobulin G; HIV: huma coronavirus disease 19; IGRA: interferon-gamma release assay; TB: tuberculosis, JCV-l leukoencephalopathy; NTZ: natalizumab; JCV: JC virus; MRI: magnetic resonance imv HAV: hepatitis A virus; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; CMV: cytomegi disorder; IL-6: interleukin 6; S1P: sphingosine 1-phosphate. ^a First cases of cryptococcosis with dimethyl fumarate have been recently reported. ⁵⁴ ^b Following general guidelines applicable in each country. ^c Haemophilus influenzae type b can cause many different kinds of infections. These infe	DMT: disease-modifying treatment; Ab: antibody; IgG: immunoglobulin G; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 19; IGRA: interferon-gamma release assay; TB: tuberculosis; JCV-PML: JC virus-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; NTZ: natalizumab; JCV: IC virus; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; HSV: herpes simplex virus; VZV: Varicella-Zoster virus; HPV: human papillomavirus; FTY: fingolimod; HAV: hepatitis A virus; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; CMV: cytomegalovirus; ALZ: alemtuzumab; IVIG: intravenous immunoglobulin; NMOSD: neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder; IL-6: interleukin 6; SIP: sphingosine 1-phosphate. ^a First cases of cryptococcosis with dimethyl fumarate have been recently reported. ⁵⁴ ^a First cases of cryptococcosis with dimethyl fumarate have been recently reported. ⁵⁴ ^a First cases of cryptococcosis with dimethyl fumarate have been recently reported. ⁵⁴ ^a First cases of cryptococcosis with dimethyl fumarate have been recently reported. ⁵⁴

Drug	Frequency figures in the literature	References		
Teriflunomide	No cases described	_		
Dimethyl fumarate	 Rare cases described (i.e. nine so far) Cases described mainly in the context of persistent (>6 months) moderate-severe lymphopenia Rare cases reported in patients with normal blood lymphocyte counts Prior natalizumab use: risk factor for DMT-associated PML 	Diebold et al. ⁵⁶ Kim et al. ⁵⁷		
S1P receptor modulators	 Fingolimod: low incidence (between 0.069⁵⁸ and ~0.12⁵⁹ per 1000 patients exposed) Siponimod: no cases described Ozanimod: no cases described Ponesimod: no cases described 	Roy et al. ⁵⁸ Berger et al. ⁵⁹		
Cladribine	No cases described in MS, but some PML cases described in patients with hematological conditions	Otero-Romero et al. ³³ Gold et al. ⁶⁰		
Natalizumab	 Overall prevalence: 4.2/1000 treated patients Prevalence in people with >24 m on natalizumab and prior immunosuppressant exposure: 1/70 Cumulative PML probability over 6 years: 1.7% 	Schwab et al. ⁶¹ Major et al. ⁵		
Alemtuzumab	• One case described	Gerevini et al.62		
Anti-CD20 therapies	One case described	Patel et al.63		
Anti-CD19 drugs	No cases described	_		
Anti-IL-6 receptor drugs	No cases described	_		
Anti-C5 drugs	No cases described	-		
DMT: disease-modifying treatment; PML: progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; S1P: sphingosine 1-phosphate; MS: multiple sclerosis; IL-6: interleukin 6.				

Table 3. DMT-associated PML.

Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators

In 2010, oral fingolimod, a sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) receptor modulator, was approved to treat relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS).64 More recently, other S1P-modulators, including siponimod, ozanimod, and ponesimod, have also been approved for the treatment of MS.65,66 S1P is a phospholipid involved in the regulation of immune cell response, cardiac rate, smooth muscle tone, and endothelial barrier function.⁶⁴ There are five different subtypes of S1P receptors, expressed in other cells: T and B lymphocytes mainly express S1P1 and S1P3 receptor subtypes, and, to a lesser extent, S1P4. Both S1P1 and S1P3 are expressed in many other cells. whereas S1P4 is only expressed in lymphoid tissue and airway smooth muscle cells.⁶⁴ S1P5 is expressed in the CNS tissue, in oligodendrocytes.67

All S1P modulators approved or under investigation for treating MS bind to the S1P1 receptors on immune cells, as part of their clinical MoA, responsible for the desired clinical effects, and commonly also bind to some other receptors. Such non-S1P1 receptor binding and the ubiquity of the S1P1 receptor explain many of the AE associated with S1P modulators.⁶⁴ However, the infection-related AE associated with S1P modulators appear in the context of their MoA: through the binding to S1P1 receptors on immune cells.⁶⁴ The binding of S1P modulators to S1P1 receptors prevents lymphocytes from egressing from lymph nodes because the complex S1P-modulatorplus-S1P1-receptor is internalized and the receptor is degraded permanently. This means that the receptor, which is needed for lymphocyte egress from lymph nodes, is not available for signaling. This results in the sequestration of lymphocytes in secondary lymphoid organs and, subsequently, sustained lymphopenia.64 However, the lymphopenia, which reduces the inflammatory activity and is associated with the beneficial clinical effects of S1P modulators, also results in a growing risk of infections in patients exposed to these therapies.⁶⁴ On the other hand, the actual lymphocyte levels in blood have not shown a correlation with the infectious risk.68

S1P modulators have been associated with specific infectious risks, mainly viral and fungal infections (Table 1). Concerning viral, and particularly herpetic infections, both VZV primary infection and reactivation have been observed in fingolimod-treated patients who showed a reduced number of VZV-specific T-cells in the blood and signs of subclinical

reactivation in the saliva.⁶⁹ Primary herpetic infections, which have been described in the context of fingolimod, siponimod, ponesimod, and ozanimod treatment, may be particularly harmful, leading to a disseminated, lethal disease. Concerning fungal infections, cryptococcal infections stand out for their frequency and severity and include primary cutaneous, pulmonary, and disseminated cryptococcosis, as well as cryptococcal meningoencephalitis. Infections with *Mycobacterium leprae* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* have also been described in patients treated with fingolimod, who developed leprosy³³ and tuberculous meningitis,⁷⁰ respectively. Finally, fingolimod treatment has been also associated with rare PML cases^{58,59} (Table 3).

Treatment of S1P-modulator-associated infections starts with the suspension of the drug, although this may mean an increased risk of disease rebound afterwards. However, a post hoc analysis that evaluated discontinuation from fingolimod from the phase III placebo-controlled trials FREEDOMS and FREEDOMS II reported no difference in severe relapse rates or Gd-enhancing lesion volume on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) between those who discontinued fingolimod and those who stopped placebo; a severe relapse rate of 4.0% was reported in FREEDOMS and 3.5% in FREEDOMS II after stopping fingolimod, compared to rates of 4.4% and 4.1%, respectively, for placebo, and there was no difference in Gd-enhancing lesion volume among those who discontinued placebo and fingolimod.71

Cladribine

Cladribine is a chlorinated deoxyadenosine purine nucleoside analogue, whose activated form accumulates in lymphocytes and, since it inhibits DNA synthesis and repair, leads to cellular apoptosis of highly dividing cells, such as B- and T-cells. It has a strong impact on B lymphocytes with a 6-month recovery time and a less profound but persistent effect on CD4+ T-cells. Lymphopenia therefore occurs mainly during the first months after dosing. The effect of cladribine on the innate immune system is relatively limited; accordingly, neutropenia and pancytopenia are rare.¹⁹

In the phase III trial CLARITY, cladribine-treated patients showed an increased incidence of VZV infection compared with placebo, which correlated with severe lymphopenia (lymphocyte count below 500/mm³). Reactivation of latent tuberculosis occurred in the context of pancytopenia. Apart from these infections, cladribine was not associated with an increased risk of severe infections (Table 1).¹⁹

Natalizumab

Natalizumab was the first monoclonal antibody to be approved for use in relapsing MS, initiating an era of genuinely high-efficacy drugs.^{20,72,73} This monoclonal antibody against the $\alpha 4$ integrins blocks the entry of inflammatory cells into the brain, drastically reducing the inflammatory activity within the CNS, and a corresponding important decrease in rate of clinical relapses.^{20,21} Shortly after approval, clinicians reported cases of developing PML,74-76 which has influenced use of natalizumab in MS patients.5 PML is an infectious demyelinating disease of the CNS caused by reactivation of the JC virus (JCV), which mainly affects patients with compromised immune systems.^{5,77} Of note, PML has also been associated with the use of other DMTs apart from natalizumab (Table 3).

The risk of natalizumab-associated PML is associated with JCV antibody positivity and high anti-JCV antibody index score, longer duration of natalizumab exposure, and exposure to prior immunosuppressive treatments.⁵ Primary JCV infection is usually asymptomatic and common in childhood in the general population. After transmission, the virus causes a chronic asymptomatic infection in the kidney. In approximately 50% of the population JC virions can be detected in urine.78,79 JCV may eventually escape to blood and infect lymphoid tissue and bone marrow by infecting CD34+ stem cells. Natalizumab forces migration of these cells to peripheral blood, where CD34+ cells differentiate to CD19+ and CD20+ (i.e. pre-B and B) cells. Importantly, all JCV strains isolated from asymptomatic individuals are of an archetypal non-pathogenic genetic variant, whereas all JCV isolated from patients with PML are of a different type (i.e. prototype variant), with significant DNA rearrangements. Such viral rearrangements may occur within the infected lymphoid cells, that is, CD34+, CD19+, or CD20+.5 Moreover, natalizumab upregulates DNA transcription factor SpiB and miRNA-126.80 This accelerates JCV multiplication, generating free virions and infected B-cells which can penetrate the blood-brain barrier and infect oligodendrocytes. In addition, natalizumab blocks the passage of T-cells through the blood-brain barrier, reducing natural clearance of JCV from the brain by CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells.5

The diagnosis of natalizumab-associated PML is based on MRI and laboratory findings, ideally at the pre-symptomatic stage of the infection when prognosis is better.⁵ During the pre-symptomatic stage, PML may be detected as a new lesion on surveillance MRI, which is generally small and hyperintense on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI).⁵ The MRI protocol to diagnose PML includes T2-weighted/fluidattenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences and DWI is also recommended.⁸¹ The MRI features that are considered most helpful to diagnose natalizumab-associated PML are: the location in subcortical regions (with involvement of U-fibers), T1 hypointensity, DWI hyperintensity, and the presence of punctate T2-hyperintense lesions^{82,83} Peripheral gadolinium enhancement and lesion growth are compatible with the diagnosis,⁸⁴ although diagnosis should ideally be made before any growth is observed. Detection of JCV DNA in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and/or the detection of JCV protein in the brain (through biopsy or autopsy) is required to confirm the diagnosis.85,86 Persistently negative JCV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in the CSF can be observed in some patients with early PML disease.87 Such early detection and rapid suspension of natalizumab will probably limit the replication of the virus which results in lower viral copies and absence of JCV DNA detection with common PCR tests. In negative cases, PCR should be optimized for detection of low JC viral load. The sensitivity of various laboratories may vary and, if suspicion is high, then an effort to use a lab that can detect low levels (e.g. <10 copies) should be considered. Anti-JCV antibodies in the CSF can support the diagnosis, especially when JCV PCR in the CSF is repeatedly negative.⁸⁷ In the blood, rapidly increasing anti-JCV antibody titers also support the diagnosis.⁴ Once symptomatic, the clinical features of PML mimic those of a brain tumor.

Treatment of PML requires early and accurate diagnosis. Due to the absence of an effective JCV-directed antiviral therapy, immune reconstitution is crucial to combat PML. Plasma exchange therapy speeds removal of natalizumab to facilitate trafficking of immune cells to control the CNS infection, although it can accelerate immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) leading to irreversible neurological symptoms. Corticosteroid treatment may reduce severe symptoms when they occur. Some patients have been reported to have improved after mefloquine and mirtazapine, but the supporting evidence for their use is weak.^{88–90} New agents that increase cellular immune response against JCV may be promising for treatment of natalizumab-associated PML,85 including infusion of BK virus-specific T-cells, a treatment based on the genetic similarity between the BK and JC viruses,91,92 checkpoint inhibitors such as pembrolizumab, an anti-PD1 drug,93 and interleukin (IL)-15 superagonist therapies.94 However, boosting/ regenerating lymphocytes against JCV may be

effective mostly for conditions with lymphocyte depletion (e.g. for patients developing PML on dimethyl fumarate) and data about their usefulness in natalizumab-associated PML are lacking.

Importantly, natalizumab has also been associated with other infections, not only JCV-associated PML, such as meningovasculitis⁹⁵ or retinitis,⁹⁶ both in the context of VZV infection and herpes simplex encephalitis.⁹⁷ Table 2 shows the main risk mitigation strategies in relation to PML (and other infectious risks)

CD52 lymphodepleting therapy

CD52 is expressed on T- and B-lymphocytes, which are thought to mediate MS inflammation, and it is a crucial target of lymphodepleting therapies. Alemtuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against the CD52 complex. It, therefore, depletes circulating CD52+ T- and B-lymphocytes, causing a significant halt in MS inflammatory activity, with significant clinical improvement. Upon its administration, full recovery of the B-cell population requires from 3 to 6 months. At the same time, at least 1 year is necessary for T-cells to reach a level equal to the lower limit of normality (LLN).^{98,99} Of note, following immune reconstitution after alemtuzumab, the percentage of CD4+ Treg subtype increases; alemtuzumab does not deplete innate immune cells.^{22,100}

Regarding AE, in the phase II and phase III trials, alemtuzumab-treated patients had a higher rate of mild-to-moderate infections compared with interferon beta (IFNb)-treated patients, most commonly affecting the upper respiratory tract (Table 1).^{98–100} Herpes infections were increased several fold mainly secondary to T-cell lymphopenia. Acalculous cholecystitis appeared as an uncommon, but potentially life-threatening infection associated with alemtuzumab treatment. Long-term data indicate that the risk of infections does not increase over time or as a consequence of multiple alemtuzumab treatment courses.⁹⁹

The most common infections associated with alemtuzumab treatment are herpetic infections, including infections for HSV and cytomegalovirus, and certain bacterial infections which tend to occur in the context of T-cell immunosuppression, such as *Listeria monocytogenes* or *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.¹⁰¹

Anti-CD20 therapies

The most commonly prescribed anti-CD20 therapies used for MS are ocrelizumab and rituximab.

Ocrelizumab was approved for both relapsing MS and primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) in 2017. Rituximab is used as an off-label therapy for MS and NMOSD in several countries. CD20+ cells play key immunological functions, including antigen presentation, cytokine production, and are precursors of antibody-producing plasma cells. Anti-CD20 drugs exert a profound effect on the B-cell repertoire by eliminating most B-cells between the early stages of development up to the plasma cell stage. This causes prolonged B-cell lymphopenia, which may lead to hypogammaglobulinemia.³⁶

In the main MS trials with anti-CD20 agents there was, overall, a slightly increased risk of infections in the anti-CD20-treated arms with respect to placebo, although not in all trials (Table 1). This effect was mainly present for rituximab trials^{24,25} rather than the ocrelizumab ones,27,102 where the percentages of infections were very similar between arms. A large nationwide registry linkage study performed in Sweden showed that rituximab was associated with a 1.7-fold increase in risk of serious infections compared to IFNb and glatiramer treatment, and also an increased use of antibiotics, but not herpes antivirals.¹⁰³ Finally, the use of anti-CD20 drugs has been recently associated with the development of babesiosis, a parasitic infection caused by Babesia microti and transmitted by deer ticks.¹⁰⁴

In other conditions different from MS and in pediatric populations, anti-CD20 drugs have also been associated with increased rate of severe infections.^{105,106} Risk factors for rituximab-associated infections include low IgG levels after rituximab treatment.^{107,108}

New NMOSD treatments: anti-CD19, anti-IL-6 receptor, and anti-C5 drugs

New monoclonal antibodies have been recently developed for NMOSD treatment. Randomized, controlled trials with anti-CD19, anti-IL-6 receptor, and anti-C5 agents are efficacious in reducing relapses, with benefits demonstrated exclusively in AQP4 IgG-positive patients. The new treatments were generally safe, and there was no demonstrated risk of infections associated with any of these drugs (Table 1), although compared to pivotal studies conducted with MS patients, these recent studies in NMOSD had small samples and probably lacked power to detect rare infectious side effects. Also, patients participating in the eculizumab trial had to be vaccinated against *Neisseria meningitidis* before starting the trial. *Anti-CD19: inebilizumab*. Inebilizumab is a humanized IgG1 kappa monoclonal antibody that targets CD19 and has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat NMOSD. CD19 is expressed on a broad spectrum of B-cells, including plasmablasts, the main producers of the pathogenic IgG antibodies against aquaporin 4 (AQP4-IgG). This ultimately leads to B-cell depletion and, therefore, B-cell lymphopenia.¹⁰⁹

In the phase II/III clinical trial N-MOmentum,²⁸ none of the AE observed, including infections, reached a prevalence higher than 20%. Also, in on no occasion, there seemed to be a higher frequency of infections in the treatment than in the placebo arm. Although 2% of treated patients presented a grade III neutropenia and 13% had IgG hypogammaglobulinemia during the open-label period, there was no associated increased risk of infections in the medium term.¹⁰⁹

IL-6-receptor inhibitors: satralizumab and tocilizumab. IL-6 is a cytokine that has a key role in inflammation and immunomodulation. It promotes T-cell differentiation into inflammatory T-helper 17 (Th17), survival of B-cells, and production of anti-AQP4-IgG by plasma cells. Satralizumab is a humanized monoclonal IgG2 antibody against the IL-6 receptor, both the membrane and the soluble subtypes, acting as an antagonist of IL-6. Its half-life is longer than that of tocilizumab, since the former has been designed to dissociate from the IL-6 receptor in certain environments (i.e. in a pH-dependent manner), leading to its recycling and return to blood in its active form. This drug has been approved by the FDA and European Medicines Agency (EMA) for the treatment of AOP4-seropositive NMOSD.

During the phase III controlled trials, SAkuraStar (satralizumab monotherapy vs placebo)²⁹ and SAkuraSky (satralizumab as add-on immunotherapy vs placebo),³⁰ common infections were observed in both treatment arms (see Table 1), with no clear increased infectious risk among those treated with satralizumab. Nonetheless, leukopenia was observed in 14.6% of the patients treated with satralizumab in the SAkuraSky trial; however, this was not significantly higher than the placebo arm.³⁰

Tocilizumab is a humanized monoclonal IgG1 antibody that also blocks the IL-6 receptor. In NMOSD, it is administered off-label, based in part on the phase II TANGO study which reported that tocilizumab was superior to azathioprine.³¹ In the trial, no clearly increased risk of infections was observed in the tocilizumab versus the active comparator arm.

Anti-C5: eculizumab. Eculizumab antagonizes the complement molecule C5, which is recruited following complement activation triggered by the binding of anti-AQP4-IgG to AQP4 on astrocytes.³² Eculizumab was the first drug approved by the FDA and EMA for NMOSD, based on the positive results of the phase III PREVENT study of eculizumab versus placebo.³²

During the clinical trial, in general, no clear increased risk of infections was observed in the active arm compared to placebo. However, based on its use in hematological conditions, it is evident that there is an increased risk of encapsulated bacterial infections associated with complement inhibiting drugs. Furthermore, patients had been vaccinated against Neisseria meningitidis before study initiation, and no N. meningitidis infections were reported in the phase III studies, although one case of serogroup B N. meningitidis infection occurred in the phase II study, for which a vaccine became available in the interval between the phase II and III studies. During the open-label extension, one patient treated with eculizumab and azathioprine died of pulmonary empyema.

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) has been proposed as a treatment for people with highly active relapsing MS that either do not respond to first-line and second-line, high-efficacy drugs, or for whom second-line therapies are contraindicated.^{110,111} Evidence from non-randomized studies suggests that AHSCT may be an effective treatment approach especially for younger and less disabled patients with relapsing forms of the disease.¹¹² However, AHSCT may also imply a higher risk of severe infections than other high-efficacy approaches, or first-line DMTs.¹¹³ Infectious risks are the highest immediately after AHSCT, but are subsequently reduced and around 18-24 months after treatment they are similar to MS patients on regular DMTs.¹¹³ During the first 6 months after AHSCT, there may be differences in infection risks between different AHSCT conditioning regimens, but these differences tend to disappear afterwards.¹¹³ The most common infections are those caused by herpes virus (especially VZV). Bacterial sepsis and other viral and bacterial infections can also be observed.113

Prevention and management of infections in specific MS populations

Children with MS

Pediatric MS is rare, particularly in pre-puberty.¹¹⁴ Therefore, due to the limited number of reported cases, it is difficult to assess a causal relationship between the use of immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory treatments and the development of infections.¹¹⁵

Four main issues arise when trying to evaluate such a relationship. First, there is no consensus on the correct dosage to be used in terms of efficacy and safety. Drug dosages that are safe may not be adequately efficacious. For example, in the PARADIGMS trial (fingolimod vs IFNb-1a), only 10/215 children were less than 40 kg (nine patients in the fingolimod group), it was not possible to evaluate the effect of dose and age on the risk of infections.¹¹⁶ Second, AE in children may not be limited to or be similar to those observed in adult patients. For instance, B-cell depletion therapies used in children, such as rituximab, are perhaps more commonly associated with neutropenia than in adults.¹¹⁷ Also some literature suggests rituximab-associated hypogammaglobulinemia and other immunological changes may be more common in children.^{118,119} Of note, children may be more likely to become JCV positive than their adult counterparts.¹²⁰ Third, given the longer disease duration of pediatriconset MS and NMOSD than adult-onset conditions, children who finally receive a high-efficacy drug may have been exposed to more drugs than their adult counterparts, and it is unknown to what extent previous DMTs affect the infection risks associated with subsequent DMTs. Fourth, it is difficult to control the environmental risks to which children may be exposed, given that their lives necessarily entail high levels of socialization such as attending school and sports. In any case, risks of infection should be considered when using immunotherapies, as we do with adult patients.

Concerning SARS-CoV-2 infection, in general, for children with MS, the benefits of continuing treatment will outweigh the risks of stopping an MS therapy because of concerns over COVID-19. When discussing the risk of DMTs in the context of the COVID-19 epidemics, a number of specific pediatric considerations need to be considered: (1) by contrast to adults, children with COVID-19 typically presented with only mild acute infection but perhaps had a higher risk of a secondary inflammatory syndrome; notably, although, children may have worse outcomes with variants (e.g. DELTA variant); (2) children with MS typically have very low levels of disabilities (most have unlimited mobility with normal bulbar function) and lower rates of comorbidities, and hence, the risk of respiratory infections is lower; (3) MS in children is more inflammatory, and hence, any alteration in treatment has a higher risk of relapse compared to adults.

Because of the rarity of pediatric MS, international collaborative cohort studies and phase IV clinical studies are needed to provide new insights to improve the clinical management of pediatric MS patients.

Pregnant women with MS

Pregnancy induces unique changes in the maternal immune system. Schematically, while the first trimester is proinflammatory, the second and early third trimester are more "tolerogenic," before a late reactivation of the immune system before childbirth.¹²¹ This tolerogenic state induces a higher susceptibility to infections, particularly urinary tract infections and mild respiratory infections. A specific concern during pregnancy is that seemingly benign or asymptomatic infections in the mother can cause severe pregnancy-related complications (spontaneous abortion and preterm labor/delivery), or induce malformation or death due to vertical transmission to the fetus.

The specific influence of DMTs on infection risk during pregnancy for women, or for the neonate following childbirth, is only partly known. Data from kidney-transplanted women suggest that in utero exposure to immunosuppressants increases the risk of infection in the neonatal period and early childhood.¹²² Data specific to MS are scant, but two small recent studies suggest an increased risk of infections in the first weeks of life for neonates exposed to natalizumab during third trimester,¹²³ and an increased risk of severe infection in pregnant women treated with rituximab.¹²⁴

Risk mitigation strategies for infections during pregnancy include dietary measures and vaccination to avoid vertical transmission or neonatal infection. Women with MS conceiving while on a DMT should be advised to apply hygiene and dietary measures strictly, especially in the case of lymphopenia or hypogammaglobulinemia. Finally, the potential need for vaccination against VZV and Rubeola of women with MS of childbearing age should be anticipated early in the disease to allow proper vaccination before DMT onset. Of importance it is the advice to postpone vaccinations with Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG) or live attenuated vaccines within the first 4 weeks of life in neonates with reduced B-cells or with exposure to other, non-anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies during the last trimester of pregnancy: disseminated lethal tuberculosis was reported in a baby following BCG vaccination, whose mother was treated with inf-liximab during pregnancy.¹²⁵

People with MS and other comorbidities

Age is associated with "immunosenescence,"¹²⁶ a global decrease in immune system efficiency, leading to an increased susceptibility to infections and reduced vaccination response. In MS, immunosenescence may contribute to the reduced inflammatory disease activity and the well-documented reduction in attacks and MRI activity with aging. While another aspect of immunosenescence is "Inflammaging," a low-grade proinflammatory state that might contributes to progressive neurodegeneration.^{127,128}

Immunosenescence induces changes in lymphocyte populations (inversion of CD8+/CD4+ ratio, decrease in B-cell precursor population, and functional modification of innate immunity), which somewhat reflect changes induced by DMTs. Hence, DMTs might carry an increased risk of infections in older patients, as illustrated by dimethyl fumarate for which a higher age is a risk factor for treatment-induced lymphopenia, and longer lymphocyte repopulation after drug cessation.¹²⁹ Indeed, age is a key risk factor of PML both under dimethyl fumarate¹³⁰ and natalizumab,¹³¹ and older patients with MS are at increased risk of infection-related hospitalization, compared to younger patients with MS, or to people of a similar age within the general population.¹³²

Comorbidities, in particular cardiometabolic comorbidities, are more frequent in people with MS than in the general population and increase in frequency with age, and they contribute to an increase in mortality and morbidity.^{133,134} As patients older than 55 years, or with significant comorbidities where largely excluded from phases II to III studies, data are lacking to specifically guide prescription in older patients with MS. In rheumatoid arthritis, a composite score integrating age and comorbidities has been developed to assess the risk of severe infection in patients treated by biotherapies,¹³⁵ and the development of a similar score would be of particular interest for counseling older patients with MS.

Altogether, the DMT risk/benefit profile shifts with increasing age. It is recommended that treatment initiation after the age of 60 years should be considered only when a recent disease-specific activity has been radiologically documented. In patients already treated, the pertinence of DMT continuation should be regularly re-evaluated, integrating newly diagnosed comorbidities. Older patients should be closely monitored for lymphopenia, PML, and VZV reactivation.

People with MS in high infection burden region

Latin America. The prevalence of MS in Latin America is low, probably thanks to a combination of genetic and environmental protective factors. A minor latitudinal gradient in MS frequency seems to exist between different Latin American countries, which could be explained by regional-specific ethnic and environmental factors.^{136–139}

In Latin American countries, there is a general increase in opportunistic infections in the context of DMT use, including tuberculosis. This has led local expert panels to issue some recommendations for screening of infections in MS patients planning to start a DMT.^{136,140,141} For instance, with respect to latent tuberculosis, which is highly prevalent in Latin America (17%),¹⁴² current consensus recommendations include (1) screening of latent tuberculosis for all patients who are to start a DMT; (2) if screening is positive, patients should undergo specific anti-tuberculous treatment and start DMT only 4-8 weeks after treatment initiation; (3) if screening is negative, patients need to be checked annually for tuberculosis and receive antibiotics if positive. Other opportunistic infections should also be checked when treating patients with DMTs in Latin America, such as paracoccidioidomycosis, caused by Paracoccidioides brasiliensis, an endemic fungus in Latin America, which mainly affects the lungs.^{143,144} Its treatment, which usually includes itraconazole, has been described in detail.145

In summary, post-marketing data and real-world evidence available in the Latin American population do not differ from results of international clinical trials. However, before starting DMTs and during DMT treatment, patients should be screened for infections that are common in Latin American countries.

India. MS is considered as an orphan disease in India, because of its low prevalence.¹²³ Accordingly, the management of MS is not prioritized. Since "off-label" therapies like classical immunosuppressants are more affordable than on-label DMTs, these are often used as first-line treatment for MS. According to the Mangalore Demyelinating Disease Registry

(MANNDIR), IFNb and glatiramer acetate are the first line in female patients with childbearing potential, while dimethyl fumarate is the preferred choice if there are no childbearing issues. Generic azathioprine and mycophenolate mofetil are also considered as first-line drugs. Rituximab and (generic) fingolimod are used as second-line treatments.^{146–148}

Patients are screened for latent tuberculosis, and other chronic infections such as hepatitis B and C, and HIV, by inquiring about weight loss, fever, cough, past family history of or contact with tuberculosis as well as serologies, hemogram derangements (e.g. lymphopenia), chest X-ray, and abdominal ultrasound. Once a patient starts on the DMT, blood and urine tests are repeated every 3 months.

In summary, it is important to explain the risks of infection associated with the different DMTs, and monitor for them and prevent them when possible. This is especially relevant in India and other Asian countries with a high infection risk burden.

Management of MS and NMOSD patients during a (COVID) pandemic

Since November 2019, the rapid emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic has challenged the care of patients with MS and NMOSD. The surge of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients into hospital during the spring of 2020 has, in most regions, led to an increased difficulty for patients with MS to access healthcare facilities,¹⁴⁹ sometimes leading to discontinuation of DMTs and rehabilitation therapies, generating disease worsening in some patients.

Patients with MS were rapidly identified as being possibly at higher risk of a severe COVID-19 due to their disability and exposure to DMTs. Before data were available about effects of DMTs on the course of COVID-19, patients and MS specialists faced a dilemma regarding DMT continuation when exposed or ill with COVID-19. While immunosuppression was globally considered as a risk factor for severe infections, some postulated that DMTs could mitigate the "cytokine storm" or hyperinflammatory state responsible for the high mortality risk in severe COVID-19 forms.

COVID-19 outcomes in patients with MS

Data about factors influencing the outcome of COVID-19 infections in patients with MS are now available from multiple national registries, detailed in

Table 4. Registries analyzed the relationship between demographical data, comorbidities, MS type, treatment, and disease course. Due to their declarative and retrospective design, registries carry inherent sampling bias, and direct comparison of outcomes in between them is not entirely valid as local policies influence case identification (such as PCR-test availability or screening program) and outcomes (different intensive care unit (ICU)/hospital-admission criteria). However, accurate data regarding individual risk factors predictive of disease course can be obtained from internal analysis.

All studies about COVID-19 outcomes in patients with MS confirmed that the risk factors for severe COVID infection identified in the general population (male sex, older age, cardiovascular comorbidities, and obesity) were also valid for patients with MS. Regarding MS-specific actors, a higher disability was identified as predictive of poorer outcomes, while a negative influence of having a diagnosis of progressive MS was suggested.^{40,41} However, this later finding was possibly confounded by the older age and more severe disability of those with progressive MS. Table 2 shows the main details of the influence of DMTs on the course of COVID-19 and the main risk mitigation strategies.

Immunization strategies

COVID-19 vaccines currently appear to be the primary way to limit severe infection at an individual level and achieve pandemic control at a population level. COVID-19 vaccines are safe in patients with MS, including during pregnancy and breastfeeding. Immune-mediated side effects occur, but severe symptoms following vaccination are exceptional, and there is no apparent excess of CNS demyelinating events following vaccination. COVID-19 vaccination is highly recommended for patients with MS. As the frequency and duration of natural immunization after a COVID-19 infection is unknown especially in the case of DMT use, patients with a history of COVID-19 infection, symptomatic or asymptomatic, should also be vaccinated.

Regarding vaccine efficacy, the immune response is considered unaltered for patients under IFNb, glatiramer acetate, teriflunomide, natalizumab, and dimethyl fumarate with normal lymphocyte counts. Concerning dimethyl fumarate with associated lymphopenia, specific data about vaccine efficacy are lacking, but the response might be attenuated. However, treatment discontinuation is not guaranteed to improve vaccine response as the normalization of lymphocyte counts can take months after discontinuation. Altogether vaccines are highly recommended without any treatment modification.

S1P modulators attenuate the humoral immune response to COVID-19 vaccine measured by antibodies levels according to case reports and small series (3.8% of 26 patients seroconverted),³⁹ but another recent study identifies a seroconversion in 10 out of 16 patients treated with fingolimod after vaccination.¹⁵² The VELOCE study identified an attenuated response to the vaccine in patients treated with ocrelizumab, particularly towards neoantigens.¹⁵³ Other B-cell depleting therapies (alemtuzumab and cladribine) also induce a transient decreased efficacy of vaccines in the 4-6 months following treatment.¹⁵⁴ A small study about 23 patients treated with cladribine vaccinated with COVID-19 vaccine at least 4 months after the previous cycle (interquartile range (IQR)= 6.1-9.4) shows that patients developed protective humoral immunity.³⁹ A potential limitation of the reported studies is that they only focused on humoral response, while cellular immunity might contribute to the vaccine-induced protection against COVID-19. In addition, clinical infection after vaccination (vaccine failure) has not been reported in these studies.

Whenever possible, a complete vaccination regime should be performed at least 1 month before the onset of an anti-CD20 therapy, fingolimod, cladribine, or alemtuzumab. For patients treated with S1P modulators, given the high risk of disease rebound, treatment discontinuation to allow vaccination is not recommended. For patients already on treatment with pulsed therapies, whenever possible the postponement of the next rituximab/ocrelizumab infusion is recommended to allow a 1-month gap between vaccination and the administration of the anti-CD20 drug. In the case of ofatumumab, the timing may be more complex. In the United States, it is advised to vaccinate 1 week before the monthly injection.

Lessons learned from critical COVID-19: new pathophysiological insights

Despite their relevance, the aforementioned risk factors for severe COVID-19 do not account for all critical cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The course of the disease exhibits a huge interindividual clinical variability in each demographic category: most patients treated with anti-CD20 therapies experience a mild disease course, while some healthy individuals unpredictably develop a life-threatening infection. An exciting hypothesis postulates that patients with an acute SARS-CoV-2 infection might exhibit altered responses in the type I interferon pathway. The COVID human genetic

Table 4. COVID-19	Table 4. COVID-19 outcomes in patients with MS and risk f	ith MS and risk factors of higher severity.	r severity.		
First author, year (reference)	Country	Population	Outcomes	Risk factors of worse outcomes	DMT-related outcomes
Louapre et al. ¹⁵⁰	France, Luxembourg, Switzerland	<i>n</i> = 347 patients; 42.1% confirmed COVID-19; 81.3% relapsing; 81.8% under DMT	21% hospitalization	Age, male sex, comorbidities (cardiopathy, obesity), progressive MS, EDSS	No influence of DMT on infection outcomes
Arrambide et al. ⁴¹	Spain	<i>n</i> = 326 patients; 47.2% confirmed COVID-19; 80.7% relapsing MS	21.3% severe COVID-19; 3% critical COVID-19; 2.1% death	Age, male sex, MS duration, EDSS, MS course, one comorbidity	Higher severity: pulsed immunosuppressive therapy (anti-CD20, cladribine, alemtuzumab); rituximab; no impact of recent corticosteroid use
Salter et al. ⁴⁴	North America (USA, Canada) CoviMS Registry	<i>n</i> = 1626 patients; 82.7% confirmed COVID-19; 82.7% relapsing MS	12.3% hospitalization; 4.8% ICU or ventilation; 3.3% death	Older age, male sex, comorbidities, EDSS	Higher disease severity Pulsed immunosuppressant: alemtuzumab, cladribine, anti-CD20 (stronger association for rituximab). Recent corticosteroid use Decreased severity: natalizumab, dimethyl fumarate
Sen et al. ¹⁵¹	Turkey	<i>n</i> = 309 patients; 100% COVID-19; 89.6% relapsing MS; 91.6% under DMT	27.5% hospitalization; 2.9% ICU; 1% death	Older age, progressive MS phenotype, high EDSS and high MSSS	No significant associations were found between any DMTs and COVID-19 severity
Simpson-Yap et al. ⁴⁰	28 countries (MS- Base)	<i>n</i> = 2340 patients; 71.9% confirmed COVID-19; 87.9% under DMT	20.9% hospitalization; 5.4% ICU; 4.1% ventilation; 3.2% death	Older age, male sex, progressive MS, EDSS, obesity, comorbidities	Higher risk of disease severity: anti-CD20 (stronger association for rituximab)
Sormani et al. ⁴²	Italy (Musc-19)	<i>n</i> = 844 patients; 33.1% confirmed COVID-19; 80.1% relapsing MS; 82% under DMT	10.9% pneumonia or hospitalization; 5.2% ICU or death	Age, male sex, higher EDSS, longer MS duration, comorbidities, progressive MS	Higher severity: Recent pulsed methyl prednisone and anti-CD20 therapy vs all other therapies
Sormani et al. ⁴³	COVISEP + Musc- 19: France, Italy, Luxembourg, Switzerland	n = 1787 patients; 100% confirmed COVID-19 Italian subcohort: $n = 1066$ patients, 86.2% relapsing MS, 86% under DMT French subcohort: $n = 721$ patients, 78.7% relapsing MS, 77.9% under DMT	For Italy and France, respectively: 11.5% and 12.8% hospitalization; 2.5% and 2.7% ICU or death	Age, male sex, EDSS, and comorbidities, anti- CD20 therapies, recent corticosteroid use	Higher severity: Recent methyl prednisone, anti- CD20 therapies (stronger association for rituximab). Decreased risk: beta- interferon
COVID-19: coronavi Score. Bold denotes the sign	irus disease 19; MS: multip) ufficant association still pres	le sclerosis; DMT: disease-modifyir ent in a multivariate analysis; n is t	COVID-19: coronavirus disease 19; MS: multiple sclerosis; DMT: disease-modifying treatment; ICU: intensive care unit; EDSS: Expande Score. Bold denotes the significant association still present in a multivariate analysis; <i>n</i> is the number of suspected or confirmed cases of COVID	t; EDSS: Expanded Disability Statu: I cases of COVID.	COVID-19: coronavirus disease 19; MS: multiple sclerosis; DMT: disease-modifying treatment; ICU: intensive care unit; EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale; MSSS: Multiple Sclerosis Severity Score. Score. Bold denotes the significant association still present in a multivariate analysis; <i>n</i> is the number of suspected or confirmed cases of COVID.

analyzed genomes from 987 patients with COVID worldwide, and identified genetic inborn errors in type I interferon pathway in 3.5%.¹⁵⁵ Interestingly, disturbance through pre-existing anti-type I interferon antibodies was identified in 10% of patients, mostly males (94%) of older age (>65 years), and their presence was associated with poor outcomes.¹⁵⁶ While still preliminary, those findings are of particular interest as they pave the way for possible therapeutic interventions. Studying their prevalence among patients with MS, especially in the case of previous treatment with interferons, would be of particular interest.

Conclusion

The advent of new, highly effective DMTs for MS and NMOSD has been a turning point in the management of patients with inflammatory demyelinating conditions. However, greater efficacy is accompanied by new risks, including developing severe infections, adding complexity to an already complex treatment scenario. Risk mitigation strategies must reduce risks and should be updated regularly as new information becomes available. Furthermore, in those circumstances where there are additional specific risks due to environmental or demographic circumstances, that is, pediatric and pregnant populations, concomitant diagnosis of other conditions (comorbidities), or presence of endemic infections, risk mitigation strategies should be carefully tailored to cover all those extra risks as well. Finally, the COVID-19 pandemic declared by the WHO in March 2020 has challenged all our treatment protocols, even measuring our health systems up. The pandemic has underscored the importance of assessing benefit-risk in relation to choice of DMT at an individual level, and to define, as accurately as possible, the impairment to the immune system that DMTs may cause, in order to apply mitigation strategies accordingly.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article:

1. C.T. is currently being funded by a Junior Leader La Caixa Fellowship (fellowship code is LCF/BQ/ PI20/11760008), awarded by "la Caixa" Foundation (ID 100010434). She has also received the 2021 Merck's Award for the Investigation in MS, awarded by Fundación Merck Salud (Spain). In 2015, she received an ECTRIMS Post-doctoral Research Fellowship and has received funding from the UK MS Society. She has also received honoraria from Roche and Novartis, and is a steering committee member of the of the O'HAND trial and of the Consensus group on Follow-on DMTs. She is a member of the Editorial Boards of Neurology and Multiple Sclerosis Journal. Dr C.T. has no disclosures related to this work.

2. A.-L.D. has participated to paid advisory boards for Merck and Novartis. Dr A.-L.D. has no disclosures related to this work.

3. S.O.-R. has received has received speaking and consulting honoraria from Genzyme, Biogen Idec, Novartis, Roche, Excemed, and MSD; as well as research support from Novartis.

4. M.P.A. served on scientific advisory boards for and has received speaker honoraria and research support from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Bayer Schering Pharma, and Sanofi Aventis and serves on the editorial board of BMC Neurology.

5. T.D. serves on scientific advisory boards for Novartis, Merck, Biogen, Genzyme, GeNeuro, Mitsubishi Pharma, Actelion, Roche, Alexion, and Celgene; has received funding for travel and/or speaker honoraria from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck, and Roche; and received research support from Alexion, Biogen, Novartis, Roche, the European Union, the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the Swiss MS Society.

6. F.D.P. has participated in meetings sponsored by, received honoraria (lectures, advisory boards, consultations), or travel funding from Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Teva.

7. E.I. has received honoraria for advisory boards or lecturing for Sanofi Genzyme and Merck outside the submitted work.

8. M.M. has been supported by the National Science Centre Poland grant no. 2020/01/0/NZ6/00072.

9. H.A. is a consultant for Biogen, Genentech, BMS, Alexion, and Horizon. He receives research support (paid to institution) from Novartis, Genentech, BMS, and Sanofi Genzyme.

10. A.A. received honoraria or consulting fees for participating in advisory boards related to clinical trials design, trial steering committees, and data and safety monitoring committees from Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Novartis, Merck, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme, and received research support for investigator-initiated trials and MS patients' benefits activities from Bristol Myers Squibb, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme.

11. A.B. has nothing to disclose.

12. A.B. served on scientific advisory boards and participated in clinical trial sponsored by Novartis, Merck, Biogen, Genzyme, TEVA, Actelion, Roche, and Biocad, and has received funding for travel and/ or speaker honoraria from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck, and Roche. 13. J.-L.C. serves on the scientific advisory boards of ADMA Biologics Inc., Kymera Therapeutics, and Elixiron Immunotherapeutics.

14. D.C. receives research funding from the NIH and the Alzheimer's Association; serves as scientific consultant to Inhibikase and Excision BioTherapeutics: serves on Data and Safety Monitoring Boards (DSMB) for Biogen, Genzyme/Sanofi, Genentech, EMD Serono, Shire, Wave Life Sciences, Pfizer, Atara, and Mitsubishi Tanabe, and IOVIA (formerly Quintiles); serves on Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML) adjudication committees for Amgen, GlaxoSmithKline, EMD Serono, Bristol Myers Squibb, Roche, and the Takeda Oncology (formerly Millennium) Adjudication Committee-FDA, as well as Dr Reddy's Laboratories; has previously received research funding from the NIH; and his spouse formerly held stock in Johnson & Johnson.

15. R.D. works within the PNU, which is funded by Barts Charity. She receives grant support from the UK MS Society, Horne Family Charitable Trust, Biogen, Celgene, and Merck. She has received honoraria for Advisory boards and/or educational activities from Biogen, Teva, Sanofi, Merck, and Roche.

16. M.F.F. has received professional travel/accommodations stipends from Merck Serono Argentina, Teva Argentina, and Novartis Argentina

17. M.F. is Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Neurology and Associate Editor of Human Brain Mapping, Radiology, and Neurological Sciences; received compensation for consulting services and/or speaking activities from Almirall, Alexion, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries; and receives research support from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Italian Ministry of Health, Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla, and ARISLA (Fondazione Italiana di Ricerca per la SLA).

- 18. K.C.F. has nothing to disclose.
- 19. M.F. has nothing to disclose.
- 20. R.G. has nothing to disclose.
- 21. Y.H. has nothing to disclose.

22. K.H. received speaker honoraria and research support from Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi, and Teva.

23. B.H. has served on scientific advisory boards for Novartis; he has served as DMSC member for AllergyCare, Polpharma, and TG therapeutics; he or his institution has received speaker honoraria from Desitin; his institution received research grants from Regeneron for multiple sclerosis research. He holds part of two patents: one for the detection of antibodies against KIR4.1 in a subpopulation of patients with multiple sclerosis and one for genetic determinants of neutralizing antibodies to interferon. All conflicts are outside of the submitted work.

24. L.K. reports personal fees from Actelion, Addex, Biotica, Celgene Receptos, Sanofi Genzyme, Eli Lilly, Mitsubishi, Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, Sanofi, Santhera Pharmaceuticals, Siemens, Teva Pharmaceuticals, UCB, and XenoPort; grants and personal fees from Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biogen, Merck Serono, and Novartis; and grants from F Hoffmann-La Roche, EU, Innoswiss, Roche Research Foundation, Swiss Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Swiss National Research Foundation, outside the submitted work. L.K. reports personal fees from Academy of Health Care Learning, Biogen, Consortium of MS Centers, and Sanofi Genzyme, outside the submitted work.

25. F.L. has nothing to disclose;

26. C.L.-F. has participated in the last 5 years to expert boards for Novartis, Roche, and Genzyme. Speaker honoraria are either declined or donated to the URRIS research unit, University Cote d'Azur, Nice, France. Did not received any financial compensation for her participation to the scientific committee of the French MS Society, ARSEP, LFSEP, OFSEP, and ECTRIMS steering committee apart travel expenses.

27. C.L. has received consulting or travel fees from Biogen, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Teva, and Merck Serono, and research grant from Biogen, none related to the present work.

28. Me.M. has served in scientific advisory board for Biogen, Sanofi, Teva, Roche, Novartis, Merck, and AbbVie; has received honoraria for lecturing from Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi, Genzyme; support for congress participation from Biogen, Genzyme, Teva, and Roche; and research grant from Sanofi, Novartis, and Merck.

29. Ma.M. reports grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Swiss Multiple Sclerosis Society, outside the submitted work; M.M. has also received institutional research support as compensation from Actelion for serving as a consultant, from Genzyme and Merck for serving as an advisory board member, and from Novartis for serving as an advisory board member and speaker.

30. C.O.-G. has received speaker and consulting fees from Biogen, Celgene, Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva. 31. L.P. has nothing to disclose.

32. C.P. had participate in conferences, advisory boards, and consulting activities for the pharmaceutical industry (alphabetical order): Biogen, MedDay, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme. She is a member of the scientific committees FCRIN and of steering committees of SFSEP and of editorial committee of Revue Neurologique and EMC. 33. F.P. received research grants from Genzyme, Merck KGaA, and UCB, and fees for serving as Chair of DMC in clinical trials with Parexel.

34. E.P. received compensation for travel grants, participation in advisory board, and/or speaking activities from Biogen, Merck Serono, Sanofi, and Novartis; serves on the editorial board of Frontiers in Neurology and Brain Sciences.

35. I.R.-C. received honoraria from Celgene, Gilead, MSD, Astellas, Novartis, and Pfizer.

36. K.S. received consulting and/or speaking fees from Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, and Synthon.

37. S.S.-Y. has nothing to disclose.

38. A.S. has received honoraria or consultancy fees for participating to advisory boards, giving educational lectures and/or travel and registration coverage for attending scientific congresses or symposia from F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Sanofi Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Teva, Biogen Idec/Gen Pharma of Turkey, and Abdi İbrahim İlaç. He reports getting research grants from Istanbul University Research Support Grants, and from The Scientific and Technological Research Council Of Turkey—Health Sciences Research Grants. Dr A.S. has no disclosures related to this work.

39. P.S.S. has received personal compensation for serving on scientific advisory boards, steering committees, independent data monitoring committees, or have received speaker honoraria for Biogen, Merck, Novartis, TEVA, and Celgene/BMS.

40. M.P.S. has received consulting fees and research grants from Biogen, Roche, Novartis, Sanofi, Merck, GSK, Immunic, and GeNeuro.

41. M.T. has received compensation for consulting from Biogen, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Roche and speaker honoraria from Biogen, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Sanofi, and Teva, and her institution has received research grants from Biogen, Merck Serono, and Novartis.

42. A.V.-D. has nothing to disclose.

43. S.V. received grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Biogen, grants and personal fees from Celgene, grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Genzyme, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Merck Serono, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Novartis, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Roche, grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Sanofi.

44. B.W. receives royalties from RSR Ltd, Oxford University, Hospices Civil de Lyon, and MVZ Labor PD Dr. Volkmann und Kollegen GbR for a patent of NMO-IgG as a diagnostic test for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders, served on adjudication committee for clinical trials conducted by MedImmune/VielaBio, Alexion, and UCB Biosciences and consulted for Chugai/Roche/Genentech, Horizon Therapeutics, and Mitsubishi Tanabe regarding neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. He has received honoraria for speaking at internal meetings of Genentech, Novartis, and external meetings for Roche.

45. H.W. receives honoraria for acting as a member of Scientific Advisory Boards Biogen, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche Pharma AG, and Sanofi Aventis, UCB as well as speaker honoraria and travel support from Alexion, Biogen, Biologix, Cognomed, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Gemeinnützige Hertie-Stiftung, Merck, Novartis, Roche Pharma AG, Genzyme, TEVA, and WebMD Global. Professor H.W. is acting as a paid consultant for Actelion, Argenx, Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb, EMD Serono, Idorsia, IGES, Immunic, Immunovant, Janssen, Johnson & Johnson, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, the Swiss Multiple Sclerosis Society, and UCB and research funding by the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Else Kröner Fresenius Foundation, Fresenius Foundation, the European Union, Hertie Foundation, NRW Ministry of Education and Research, Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Studies (IZKF) Muenster and Biogen, GlaxoSmithKline, Roche Pharma AG, Sanofi Genzyme, outside the submitted work.

46. A.W. reports personal fees from Alexion, Celgene, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi, Roche, and Teva, outside the submitted work.

47. M.I.Z.R. has received compensation for consulting services from Novartis, Biogen, Sanofi, Roche, Tecnofarma, BMS, Merck Serono, and Bayer.

48. M.T. has received compensation for consulting services and speaking honoraria from Almirall, Bayer Schering Pharma, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Janssen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, Viela Bio, and Teva Pharmaceuticals. She is coeditor of Multiple Sclerosis Journal-ETC.

49. B.S. received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, Genzyme, and unconditional research support from Merck Serono, Genzyme, and Roche.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: B.S. has received grants and personal fees for lectures from Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, and Merck Serono, personal fees for lectures from Novartis, Biogen and Teva, all outside of the submitted work.

ORCID iDs

Carmen Tur Phttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-1849-3184 Maria Pia Amato D https://orcid.org/0000-0003 -3325-3760 Tobias Derfuss (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8431 -8769 Hesham Abboud (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001 -5346-8254 Anat Achiron (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2020 -3126 Ruth Dobson (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2993 -585X Massimo Filippi https://orcid.org/0000-0002 -5485-0479Kathryn C Fitzgerald (D https://orcid.org/0000-0003 -3137-0322 Mattia Fonderico (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002 -1716-5579 Kerstin Hellwig D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4467 -9011 Bernhard Hemmer (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001 -5985-6784 Filipa Ladeira n https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5530 -3258 Christine Lebrun-Frénay (D) https://orcid.org/0000 -0002-3713-2416 Melinda Magyari (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002 -0972-5222 Celia Oreja-Guevara n https://orcid.org/0000-0002 -9221-5716 Lekha Pandit (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2269 -5312 Caroline Papeix (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4074 -6125 Emilio Portaccio (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002 -9662-1762 Steve Simpson-Yap (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001 -6521-3056 Aksel Siva (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8340-6641 Maria Pia Sormani (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001 -6892-104X Sandra Vukusic (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7337 -7122 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9999 Mar Tintoré 🕩 -5359 Heinz Wiendl D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4310 -3432

References

 Thompson AJ, Baranzini SE, Geurts J, et al. Multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* 2018; 391: 1622–1636, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0140673618304811

- Papadopoulos MC, Bennett JL and Verkman AS. Treatment of neuromyelitis optica: State-of-theart and emerging therapies. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2014; 10(9): 493–506, http://www.nature.com/articles/ nrneurol.2014.141
- Holmøy T, Høglund RA, Illes Z, et al. Recent progress in maintenance treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *J Neurol* 2021; 268: 4522–4536, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00415-020-10235-5
- Papeix C, Donze C, Lebrun-Frénay C, et al. Infections and multiple sclerosis: Recommendations from the French Multiple Sclerosis Society. *Rev Neurol* 2021; 177: 980–994, https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0035378721006020
- Major EO, Yousry TA and Clifford DB. Pathogenesis of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy and risks associated with treatments for multiple sclerosis: A decade of lessons learned. *Lancet Neurol* 2018; 17(5): 467–480, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S1474442218300401
- O'Connor P, Wolinsky JS, Confavreux C, et al. Randomized trial of oral teriflunomide for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 1293–1303, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/ NEJMoa1014656
- Vermersch P, Czlonkowska A, Grimaldi LM, et al. Teriflunomide versus subcutaneous interferon beta-1a in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis: A randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20(6): 705–716, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458513507821
- Confavreux C, O'Connor P, Comi G, et al. Oral teriflunomide for patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis (TOWER): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2014; 13(3): 247–256, https://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S1474442213703089
- Fox RJ, Miller DH, Phillips JT, et al. Placebocontrolled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 or glatiramer in multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 1087–1097.
- Gold R, Arnold DL, Bar-Or A, et al. Long-term effects of delayed-release dimethyl fumarate in multiple sclerosis: Interim analysis of ENDORSE, a randomized extension study. *Mult Scler* 2017; 23(2): 253–265, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458516649037
- Cohen JA, Barkhof F, Comi G, et al. Oral fingolimod or intramuscular interferon for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 402–415, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/ NEJMoa0907839

- Kappos L, Radue E-W, O'Connor P, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of oral fingolimod in relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 387–401, http://www.nejm.org/doi/ abs/10.1056/NEJMoa0909494
- Calabresi PA, Radue E-W, Goodin D, et al. Safety and efficacy of fingolimod in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (FREEDOMS II): A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2014; 13(6): 545–556, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S1474442214700493
- Lublin F, Miller DH, Freedman MS, et al. Oral fingolimod in primary progressive multiple sclerosis (INFORMS): A phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016; 387: 1075– 1084, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0140673615013148
- Kappos L, Bar-Or A, Cree BAC, et al. Siponimod versus placebo in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (EXPAND): A double-blind, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2018; 391: 1263–1273, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0140673618304756
- 16. Comi G, Kappos L, Selmaj KW, et al. Safety and efficacy of ozanimod versus interferon beta-1a in relapsing multiple sclerosis (SUNBEAM): A multicentre, randomised, minimum 12-month, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 18(11): 1009–1020, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S147444221930239X
- Cohen JA, Comi G, Selmaj KW, et al. Safety and efficacy of ozanimod versus interferon beta-1a in relapsing multiple sclerosis (RADIANCE): A multicentre, randomised, 24-month, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 18(11): 1021–1033, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S1474442219302388
- Kappos L, Fox RJ, Burcklen M, et al. Ponesimod compared with teriflunomide in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis in the active-comparator phase 3 OPTIMUM study. *JAMA Neurol* 2021; 78: 558–567, https://jamanetwork.com/journals/ jamaneurology/fullarticle/2777917
- Giovannoni G, Comi G, Cook S, et al. A placebocontrolled trial of oral cladribine for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 416–426.
- 20. Polman CH, O'Connor PW, Havrdova E, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 899–910, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa044397
- 21. Rudick RA, Stuart WH, Calabresi PA, et al. Natalizumab plus interferon beta-1a for relapsing

multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 911–923, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/ NEJMoa044396

- 22. Cohen JA, Coles AJ, Arnold DL, et al. Alemtuzumab versus interferon beta 1a as firstline treatment for patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: A randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 1819–1828.
- Coles AJ, Twyman CL, Arnold DL, et al. Alemtuzumab for patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis after disease-modifying therapy: A randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 1829–1839.
- 24. Hauser SL, Waubant E, Arnold DL, et al. B-cell depletion with rituximab in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 358: 676–688, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/ NEJMoa0706383
- Hawker K, O'Connor P, Freedman MS, et al. Rituximab in patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis: Results of a randomized doubleblind placebo-controlled multicenter trial. *Ann Neurol* 2009; 66(4): 460–471, https://doi.wiley. com/10.1002/ana.21867
- 26. Tahara M, Oeda T, Okada K, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (RIN-1 study): A multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; 19(4): 298–306, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S1474442220300661
- Hauser SL, Bar-Or A, Comi G, et al. Ocrelizumab versus interferon beta-1a in relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 376: 221–234, http:// www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1601277
- Cree BAC, Bennett JL, Kim HJ, et al. Inebilizumab for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (N-MOmentum): A double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 1352–1363.
- Traboulsee A, Greenberg BM, Bennett JL, et al. Safety and efficacy of satralizumab monotherapy in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: A randomised, double-blind, multicentre, placebocontrolled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; 19(5): 402–412, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S1474442220300788
- Yamamura T, Kleiter I, Fujihara K, et al. Trial of satralizumab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 381: 2114–2124, http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1901747
- 31. Zhang C, Zhang M, Qiu W, et al. Safety and efficacy of tocilizumab versus azathioprine in highly relapsing neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder

(TANGO): An open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; 19(5): 391–401, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S1474442220300703

- Pittock SJ, Berthele A, Fujihara K, et al. Eculizumab in aquaporin-4–positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 381: 614–625, http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/ NEJMoa1900866
- 33. Otero-Romero S, Sánchez-Montalvá A and Vidal-Jordana A. Assessing and mitigating risk of infection in patients with multiple sclerosis on disease modifying treatment. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2021; 17(3): 285–300, https://www.tandfonline.com/ doi/full/10.1080/1744666X.2021.1886924
- Laroni A, Schiavetti I, Sormani MP, et al. COVID-19 in patients with multiple sclerosis undergoing disease-modifying treatments. *Mult Scler J* 2020; 27: 2126–2136.
- Loonstra FC, Hoitsma E, van Kempen ZL, et al. COVID-19 in multiple sclerosis: The Dutch experience. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1256–1260.
- Zheng C, Kar I, Chen CK, et al. Multiple sclerosis disease-modifying therapy and the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications on the risk of infection and future vaccination. *CNS Drugs* 2020; 34(9): 879–896, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40263-020-00756-y
- Kelly H, Sokola B and Abboud H. Safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in multiple sclerosis patients. *J Neuroimmunol* 2021; 356: 577599, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0165572821001260
- Ciotti JR, Valtcheva MV and Cross AH. Effects of MS disease-modifying therapies on responses to vaccinations: A review. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 45: 102439, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/ retrieve/pii/S2211034820305149
- Achiron A, Mandel M, Dreyer-Alster S, et al. Humoral immune response to COVID-19 mRNA vaccine in patients with multiple sclerosis treated with high-efficacy disease-modifying therapies. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2021; 14: 17562864211012835, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/17562864211012835
- 40. Simpson-Yap S, de Brouwer E, Kalincik T, et al. Associations of DMT therapies with COVID-19 severity in multiple sclerosis. *medRxiv* 2021, https:// www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.02.08.2125 1316v1
- Arrambide G, Llaneza-González MÁ, Costa-Frossard França L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2021; 8: e1024, https://nn.neurology. org/lookup/doi/10.1212/NXI.00000000001024

- Sormani MP, De Rossi N, Schiavetti I, et al. Disease-modifying therapies and coronavirus disease 2019 severity in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2021; 89(4): 780–789, https://onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/10.1002/ana.26028
- Sormani MP, Salvetti M, Labauge P, et al. DMTs and covid-19 severity in MS: A pooled analysis from Italy and France. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2021; 8: 1738–1744, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ doi/10.1002/acn3.51408
- 44. Salter A, Fox RJ, Newsome SD, et al. Outcomes and risk factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection in a North American Registry of Patients with multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2021; 78: 699–708, https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaneurology/ fullarticle/2777735
- 45. van Kempen ZLE, Strijbis EMM, Al MMCT, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in adult patients with multiple sclerosis in the Amsterdam MS cohort. *JAMA Neurol* 2021; 78: 880–882, https:// jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaneurology/ fullarticle/2779734
- 46. Achiron A, Mandel M, Dreyer-Alster S, et al. Humoral immune response in multiple sclerosis patients following PfizerBNT162b2 COVID19 vaccination: Up to 6 months cross-sectional study. *J Neuroimmunol* 2021; 361: 577746, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0165572821002733
- 47. Apostolidis SA, Kakara M, Painter MM, et al. Cellular and humoral immune responses following SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in patients with multiple sclerosis on anti-CD20 therapy. *Nat Med* 2021; 27(11): 1990–2001, https://www.nature.com/ articles/s41591-021-01507-2
- Li T, Han X, Gu C, et al. Potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies with protective efficacy against newly emerged mutational variants. *Nat Commun* 2021; 12: 6304, https://www.nature.com/ articles/s41467-021-26539-7
- 49. Graf J, Mares J, Barnett M, et al. Targeting B cells to modify MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2021; 8: e919, https://nn.neurology.org/lookup/doi/10.1212/ NXI.000000000000919
- 50. Vela D, Vela-Gaxha Z, Rexhepi M, et al. Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab versus standard care/ placebo in patients with COVID-19; a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. Epub ahead of print 29 October 2021. DOI: 10.1111/bcp.15124.
- 51. Valencia-Sanchez C and Wingerchuk DM. A fine balance: Immunosuppression and immunotherapy in a patient with multiple sclerosis and COVID-19. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 42: 102182,

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S2211034820302583

- Cabal-Herrera AM and Mateen FJ. COVID-19 in a patient treated with eculizumab for aquaporin-4 neuromyelitis optica. *J Neurol* 2021; 268(12): 4479–4482, https://link.springer.com/10.1007/ s00415-021-10578-7
- 53. Chidharla A, Syed SB, Chatterjee T, et al. A case report of COVID-associated catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome successfully treated with eculizumab. *J Blood Med* 2021; 12: 929–933, https://www.dovepress.com/a-case-report-of-covid-associated-catastrophic-antiphospholipid-syndropeer-reviewed-fulltext-article-JBM
- 54. Workel HH, Wolfhagen MJHM, Bouwhuis JW, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis in a patient with multiple sclerosis on dimethyl fumarate treatment: A case report. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 42: 102137, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S2211034820302133
- 55. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) Vaccine Information Statement, https://www.cdc.gov/ vaccines/hcp/vis/vis-statements/hib.html (2019, accessed 20 July 2021).
- 56. Diebold M, Altersberger V, Décard BF, et al. A case of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy under dimethyl fumarate treatment without severe lymphopenia or immunosenescence. *Mult Scler J* 2019; 25: 1682–1685, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458519852100
- Kim T, Croteau D, Brinker A, et al. Expanding spectrum of opportunistic infections associated with dimethyl fumarate. *Mult Scler J* 2021; 27: 1301–1305, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458520977132
- Roy R, Alotaibi AA and Freedman MS. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators for multiple sclerosis. *CNS Drugs* 2021; 35: 385–402, https:// link.springer.com/10.1007/s40263-021-00798-w
- 59. Berger JR, Cree BA, Greenberg B, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy after fingolimod treatment. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e1815–e1821, http://www.neurology.org/lookup/doi/10.1212/ WNL.000000000005529
- Gold R, Kappos L, Arnold DL, et al. Placebocontrolled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 1098–1107, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/ NEJMoa1114287
- Schwab N, Schneider-Hohendorf T, Melzer N, et al. Natalizumab-associated PML. *Neurology* 2017; 88: 1197–1205, http://www.neurology.org/lookup/ doi/10.1212/WNL.00000000003739

- 62. Gerevini S, Capra R, Bertoli D, et al. Immune profiling of a patient with alemtuzumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *Mult Scler* 2019; 25(8): 1196–1201, http://journals. sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1352458519832259
- Patel A, Sul J, Gordon ML, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in a patient with progressive multiple sclerosis treated with ocrelizumab monotherapy. *JAMA Neurol* 2021; 78: 736–740, https://jamanetwork.com/journals/ jamaneurology/fullarticle/2777642
- Subei AM and Cohen JA. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators in multiple sclerosis. *CNS Drugs* 2015; 29: 565–575, http://link.springer. com/10.1007/s40263-015-0261-z
- Dumitrescu L, Constantinescu CS and Tanasescu R. Siponimod for the treatment of secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2019; 20: 143–150, https://www. tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14656566.2018.15 51363
- McGinley MP and Cohen JA. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators in multiple sclerosis and other conditions. *Lancet* 2021; 398: 1184–1194, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S0140673621002440
- Jaillard C. Edg8/S1P5: An oligodendroglial receptor with dual function on process retraction and cell survival. *J Neurosci* 2005; 25: 1459–1469, https://www.jneurosci.org/lookup/doi/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.4645-04.2005
- Francis G, Kappos L, O'Connor P, et al. Temporal profile of lymphocyte counts and relationship with infections with fingolimod therapy. *Mult Scler J* 2014; 20: 471–480, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458513500551
- Ricklin ME, Lorscheider J, Waschbisch A, et al. T-cell response against varicella-zoster virus in fingolimod-treated MS patients. *Neurology* 2013; 81: 174–181, http://www.neurology.org/cgi/doi/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e31829a3311
- Köseoğlu M, Gözübatık Çelik RG and Kürtüncü M. A case report with tuberculous meningitis during fingolimod treatment. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020; 46: 102450, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S2211034820305253
- Vermersch P, Radue E-W, Putzki N, et al. A comparison of multiple sclerosis disease activity after discontinuation of fingolimod and placebo. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin* 2017; 3(3): 2055217317730096, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/2055217317730096
- 72. Miller DH, Khan OA, Sheremata WA, et al. A controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple

sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 15–23, http:// www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa020696

- Miller DH, Soon D, Fernando KT, et al. MRI outcomes in a placebo-controlled trial of natalizumab in relapsing MS. *Neurology* 2007; 68: 1390–1401, http://www.neurology.org/cgi/ doi/10.1212/01.wnl.0000260064.77700.fd
- 74. Yousry TA, Major EO, Ryschkewitsch C, et al. Evaluation of patients treated with natalizumab for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 924–933, http://www.nejm.org/ doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa054693
- Bloomgren G, Richman S, Hotermans C, et al. Risk of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 366: 1870–1880, http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/ NEJMoa1107829
- Langer-Gould A, Atlas SW, Green AJ, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in a patient treated with natalizumab. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 375–381, http://www.nejm.org/doi/ abs/10.1056/NEJMoa051847
- Sheremata WA, Minagar A, Alexander JS, et al. The role of alpha-4 integrin in the aetiology of multiple sclerosis. *CNS Drugs* 2005; 19(11): 909–922, http:// link.springer.com/10.2165/00023210-200519110-00002
- Olsson T, Achiron A, Alfredsson L, et al. Anti-JC virus antibody prevalence in a multinational multiple sclerosis cohort. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19(11): 1533–1538, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/23459571
- Gorelik L, Lerner M, Bixler S, et al. Anti-JC virus antibodies: Implications for PML risk stratification. *Ann Neurol* 2010; 68(3): 295–303, http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20737510
- Meira M, Sievers C, Hoffmann F, et al. Natalizumab-induced POU2AF1/Spi-B upregulation. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2016; 3(3): e223, http://nn.neurology.org/lookup/doi/10.1212/ NXI.00000000000223
- Rovira Á, Wattjes MP, Tintoré M, et al. Evidence– based guidelines: MAGNIMS consensus guidelines on the use of MRI in multiple sclerosis—Clinical implementation in the diagnostic process. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015; 11(8): 471–482.
- Hodel J, Darchis C, Outteryck O, et al. Punctate pattern: A promising imaging marker for the diagnosis of natalizumab-associated PML. *Neurology* 2016; 86: 1516–1523.
- Hodel J, Outteryck O, Dubron C, et al. Asymptomatic progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy associated with natalizumab: Diagnostic precision with MR imaging. *Radiology*

2016; 278(3): 863–872, http://pubs.rsna.org/ doi/10.1148/radiol.2015150673

- Wattjes MP, Vennegoor A, Steenwijk MD, et al. MRI pattern in asymptomatic natalizumabassociated PML. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2015; 86(7): 793–798, https://jnnp.bmj.com/lookup/ doi/10.1136/jnnp-2014-308630
- Cortese I, Reich DS and Nath A. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy and the spectrum of JC virus-related disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2021; 17(1): 37–51, http://www.nature.com/articles/ s41582-020-00427-y
- 86. Berger JR, Aksamit AJ, Clifford DB, et al. PML diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 2013; 80: 1430–1438, http://www.neurology.org/lookup/doi/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e31828c2fa1
- Kuhle J, Gosert R, Buhler R, et al. Management and outcome of CSF-JC virus PCR-negative PML in a natalizumab-treated patient with MS. *Neurology* 2011; 77: 2010–2016, http://www.neurology.org/cgi/ doi/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31823b9b27
- Jamilloux Y, Kerever S, Ferry T, et al. Treatment of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy with mirtazapine. *Clin Drug Investig* 2016; 36: 783–789, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40261-016-0433-8
- 89. Toorop AA, van Lierop ZYG, Strijbis EEM, et al. Mild progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy after switching from natalizumab to ocrelizumab. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2021; 8(1): e904, http://nn.neurology.org/lookup/doi/10.1212/ NXI.000000000000904
- Clifford DB, Nath A, Cinque P, et al. A study of mefloquine treatment for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy: Results and exploration of predictors of PML outcomes. *J Neurovirol* 2013; 19(4): 351–358, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/ s13365-013-0173-y
- 91. Muftuoglu M, Olson A, Marin D, et al. Allogeneic BK virus–specific T cells for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 379: 1443–1451, http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/ NEJMoa1801540
- 92. Steinhardt MJ, Wiercinska E, Pham M, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in a patient post allo-HCT successfully treated with JC virus specific donor lymphocytes. *J Transl Med* 2020; 18: 177, https://translational-medicine. biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12967-020-02337-5
- 93. Cortese I, Muranski P, Enose-Akahata Y, et al. Pembrolizumab treatment for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 380: 1597–1605, http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/ NEJMoa1815039

- 94. Oza A, Rettig MP, Powell P, et al. Interleukin-15 superagonist (N-803) treatment of PML and JCV in a post-allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant patient. *Blood Adv* 2020; 4: 2387–2391, https://ashpublications.org/bloodadvances/ article/4/11/2387/460597/Interleukin15superagonist-N803-treatment-of-PML
- 95. Mulero P, Auger C, Parolin L, et al. Varicellazoster meningovasculitis in a multiple sclerosis patient treated with natalizumab. *Mult Scler J* 2018; 24: 358–360, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458517711569
- 96. van Kempen ZLE, van Rossum JA, Hoogervorst E, et al. Varicella zoster-associated acute retinal necrosis and central nervous system complications in natalizumab treated MS patients. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021; 50: 102838, https://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S2211034821001048
- 97. Fine AJ, Sorbello A, Kortepeter C, et al. Central nervous system herpes simplex and varicella zoster virus infections in natalizumab-treated patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 57(6): 849–852, https://academic. oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/cit376
- Coles AJ, Fox E, Vladic A, et al. Alemtuzumab more effective than interferon β-1a at 5-year follow-up of CAMMS223 clinical trial. *Neurology* 2012; 78: 1069–1078.
- 99. Coles AJ, Arnold DL, Bass AD, et al. Efficacy and safety of alemtuzumab over 6 years: Final results of the 4-year CARE-MS extension trial. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2021; 14: 1756286420982134.
- 100. Coles AJ, Fox E, Vladic A, et al. Alemtuzumab versus interferon beta-1a in early relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: Post-hoc and subset analyses of clinical efficacy outcomes. *Lancet Neurol* 2011; 10: 338–348.
- 101. Holmøy T, Fevang B, Olsen DB, et al. Adverse events with fatal outcome associated with alemtuzumab treatment in multiple sclerosis. *BMC Res Notes* 2019; 12: 497, https://bmcresnotes. biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13104-019-4507-6
- 102. Montalban X, Hauser SL, Kappos L, et al. Ocrelizumab versus placebo in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 376: 209–220, http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/ NEJMoa1606468
- 103. Luna G, Alping P, Burman J, et al. Infection risks among patients with multiple sclerosis treated with fingolimod, natalizumab, rituximab, and injectable therapies. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 184, https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaneurology/ fullarticle/2752284

- 104. Coban H, Germaine S, Dimaandal I, et al. Realworld experience of ocrelizumab initiation in a diverse multiple sclerosis population. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021; 53: 103021, https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2211034821002881
- 105. McAtee CL, Lubega J, Underbrink K, et al. Association of rituximab use with adverse events in children, adolescents, and young adults. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021; 4: e2036321, https://jamanetwork.com/ journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2775825
- 106. Padoan R, Felicetti M, Gatto M, et al. Rituximabassociated hypogammaglobulinaemia in ANCAassociated vasculitis and connective tissue diseases: A longitudinal observational study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2020; 38: 188–194.
- 107. Labrosse R, Barmettler S, Derfalvi B, et al. Rituximab-induced hypogammaglobulinemia and infection risk in pediatric patients. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2021; 148(2): 523–532, https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0091674921005649
- Disanto G, Ripellino P, Riccitelli GC, et al. De-escalating rituximab dose results in stability of clinical, radiological, and serum neurofilament levels in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler J* 2021; 27: 1230–1239, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458520952036
- 109. Cacciaguerra L, Tortorella P, Rocca MA, et al. Targeting neuromyelitis optica pathogenesis: Results from randomized controlled trials of biologics. *Neurotherapeutics* 2021; 18(3): 1623–1636, https:// link.springer.com/10.1007/s13311-021-01055-0
- 110. Miller AE, Chitnis T, Cohen BA, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant in multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2021; 78: 241, https:// jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaneurology/ fullarticle/2771920
- 111. Bertolotto A, Martire S, Mirabile L, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT): Standard of care for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients. *Neurol Ther* 2020; 9(2): 197–203, https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40120-020-00200-9
- 112. Muraro PA, Pasquini M, Atkins HL, et al. Long-term outcomes after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2017; 74: 459, http://archneur.jamanetwork.com/ article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.5867
- 113. Alping P, Burman J, Lycke J, et al. Safety of alemtuzumab and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation compared to noninduction therapies for multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2021; 96: e1574–e1584, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/33514645

- 114. Achiron A, Garty B-Z, Menascu S, et al. Multiple sclerosis in Israeli children: Incidence, an clinical, cerebrospinal fluid and magnetic resonance imaging findings. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2012; 14(4): 234–239, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/22675841
- 115. Abdel-Mannan OA, Manchoon C, Rossor T, et al. Use of disease-modifying therapies in pediatric relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis in the United Kingdom. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2021; 8(4): e1008, http://nn.neurology.org/lookup/ doi/10.1212/NXI.000000000001008
- 116. Chitnis T, Arnold DL, Banwell B, et al. Trial of fingolimod versus interferon beta-1a in pediatric multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 379: 1017–1027, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30207920
- Dale RC, Brilot F, Duffy LV, et al. Utility and safety of rituximab in pediatric autoimmune and inflammatory CNS disease. *Neurology* 2014; 83: 142–150, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24920861
- 118. Khojah AM, Miller ML, Klein-Gitelman MS, et al. Rituximab-associated hypogammaglobulinemia in pediatric patients with autoimmune diseases. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J* 2019; 17: 61, http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31462263
- 119. Deyà-Martínez A, Gordón Y, Molina-Anguita C, et al. Single-cycle rituximab-induced immunologic changes in children: Enhanced in neuroimmunologic disease? *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2020; 7(4): e724, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32376706
- 120. Viscidi RP, Rollison DE, Sondak VK, et al. Agespecific seroprevalence of Merkel cell polyomavirus, BK virus, and JC virus. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2011; 18(10): 1737–1743, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21880855
- 121. Aghaeepour N, Ganio EA, Mcilwain D, et al. An immune clock of human pregnancy. *Sci Immunol* 2017; 2: eaan2946, https://immunology.sciencemag. org/lookup/doi/10.1126/sciimmunol.aan2946
- 122. Egerup P, Fich Olsen L, Christiansen A-MH, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) antibodies at delivery in women, partners, and newborns. *Obstet Gynecol* 2021; 137: 49–55, https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/ AOG.000000000004199
- 123. Ciplea AI, Langer-Gould A, de Vries A, et al. Monoclonal antibody treatment during pregnancy and/or lactation in women with MS or neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2020; 7: e723, http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/32327455

- 124. Kümpfel T, Thiel S, Meinl I, et al. Anti-CD20 therapies and pregnancy in neuroimmunologic disorders: A cohort study from Germany. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2021; 8(1): e913, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33334856
- 125. Heller MM, Wu JJ and Murase JE. Fatal case of disseminated BCG infection after vaccination of an infant with in utero exposure to infliximab. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2011; 65(4): 870, https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0190962211005536
- 126. Dema M, Eixarch H, Villar LM, et al. Immunosenescence in multiple sclerosis: The identification of new therapeutic targets. *Autoimmun Rev* 2021; 20(9): 102893, https://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S156899722100166X
- 127. Bolton C. An evaluation of the recognised systemic inflammatory biomarkers of chronic sub-optimal inflammation provides evidence for inflammageing (IFA) during multiple sclerosis (MS). *Immun Ageing* 2021; 18: 18, https://immunityageing.biomedcentral. com/articles/10.1186/s12979-021-00225-0
- 128. Vaughn CB, Jakimovski D, Kavak KS, et al. Epidemiology and treatment of multiple sclerosis in elderly populations. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2019; 15(6): 329–342, http://www.nature.com/articles/s41582-019-0183-3
- 129. Briner M, Bagnoud M, Miclea A, et al. Time course of lymphocyte repopulation after dimethyl fumarateinduced grade 3 lymphopenia: Contribution of patient age. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2019; 12: 1756286419843450, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1756286419843450
- 130. Jordan ALM, Yang J, Fisher CJ, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in dimethyl fumarate-treated multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler J* 2022; 28: 7–15, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458520949158
- 131. Prosperini L, de Rossi N, Scarpazza C, et al. Natalizumab-related progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in multiple sclerosis: Findings from an Italian Independent Registry. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11(12): e0168376.
- Wijnands JMA, Kingwell E, Zhu F, et al. Infectionrelated health care utilization among people with and without multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2017; 23(11): 1506–1516, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458516681198
- 133. Chou IJ, Kuo CF, Tanasescu R, et al. Comorbidity in multiple sclerosis: Its temporal relationships with disease onset and dose effect on mortality. *Eur J Neurol* 2020; 27(1): 105–112, https://onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ene.14040
- 134. Palladino R, Marrie RA, Majeed A, et al. Evaluating the risk of macrovascular events and mortality

among people with multiple sclerosis in England. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 820, https://jamanetwork. com/journals/jamaneurology/fullarticle/2765472

- 135. Strangfeld A and Richter A. Wie unterstützen Registerdaten die klinische Entscheidungsfindung? Z Rheumatol 2015; 74: 119–124, http://link.springer. com/10.1007/s00393-014-1449-1
- 136. Negrotto L and Correale J. Evolution of multiple sclerosis prevalence and phenotype in Latin America. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2018; 22: 97–102, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S2211034818301019
- 137. Toro J, Cuellar-Giraldo D, Díaz-Cruz C, et al. HLA-DRB1*14 is a protective allele for multiple sclerosis in an admixed Colombian population. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2016; 3(1): e192, http://nn.neurology.org/lookup/doi/10.1212/ NXI.000000000000192
- 138. Correale J, Farez MF and Gaitán MI. Environmental factors influencing multiple sclerosis in Latin America. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin* 2017; 3(2): 2055217317715049, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/2055217317715049
- 139. Chinea A, Ríos-Bedoya CF, Rubi C, et al. Incidence of multiple sclerosis in Puerto Rico, 2014: A population-based study. *Neuroepidemiology* 2017; 48(1–2): 55–60, https://www.karger.com/Article/ FullText/468989
- 140. Alonso R, Carnero Contentti E, Imhoff G, et al. Barriers against a successful MS treatment: The importance of effectiveness beyond efficacy. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 30: 129–135, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S2211034819300562
- 141. Navas C, Torres-Duque CA, Munoz-Ceron J, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of latent tuberculosis in patients with multiple sclerosis, expert consensus. On behalf of the Colombian Association of Neurology, Committee of Multiple Sclerosis. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin* 2018; 4(1): 2055217317752202, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/2055217317752202
- 142. Bouley AJ, Baber U, Egnor E, et al. Prevalence of latent tuberculosis in the multiple sclerosis clinic and effect of multiple sclerosis treatment on tuberculosis testing. *Int J MS Care* 2021; 23(1): 26–30, https://meridian.allenpress.com/ijmsc/ article/23/1/26/436105/Prevalence-of-Latent-Tuberculosis-in-the-Multiple
- 143. Zeminian de Oliveira LB, Della Coletta AM, Gardizani TP, et al. Paracoccidioimycosis and white individuals: Susceptibility and biogeographic aspects in an important endemic area in Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2021; 15(2): e0009086.

- 144. Almeida KJ, Barreto-Soares RV, Campos-Sousa RN, et al. Pulmonary paracoccidioidomycosis associated with the use of natalizumab in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2018; 24(7): 1002–1004, http://journals. sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1352458518763091
- 145. Shikanai-Yasuda MA. Paracoccidioidomycosis treatment. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 2015; 57: 31–37, http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_ arttext&pid=S0036-46652015000800031&lng=en&t lng=en
- 146. McDonell J, Costello K, Laurson-Doube J, et al. World Health Organization Essential Medicines List: Multiple sclerosis diseasemodifying therapies application. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(2): 153–158, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458519898340
- 147. Pandit L, Mustafa S, Malli C, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil in the treatment of multiple sclerosis: A preliminary report. *Neurol India* 2014; 62(6): 646–648, http://www.neurologyindia.com/text. asp?2014/62/6/646/149390
- 148. Pandit L and Kundapur R. Prevalence and patterns of demyelinating central nervous system disorders in urban Mangalore, South India. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20(12): 1651–1653, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/1352458514521503
- 149. Portaccio E, Fonderico M, Hemmer B, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on multiple sclerosis care and management: Results from the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis survey. *Mult Scler J* 2021; 28: 132–138, http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/13524585211005339
- 150. Louapre C, Collongues N, Stankoff B, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 1079, https://jamanetwork. com/journals/jamaneurology/fullarticle/2767776
- 151. Sen S, Karabudak R, Schiavetti I, et al. The outcome of a national MS-Covid-19 study: What the Turkish MS cohort reveals? *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021; 52: 102968, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S2211034821002352
- 152. Guerrieri L, Zanetta N, Filippi M, et al. Serological response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in multiple sclerosis patients treated with fingolimod or ocrelizumab: An initial real-life experience. J Neurol. Epub ahead of print 26 June 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00415-021-10663-x
- 153. Bar-Or A, Calkwood JC, Chognot C, et al. Effect of ocrelizumab on vaccine responses in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2020; 95: e1999–e2008, http://www.neurology.org/lookup/ doi/10.1212/WNL.000000000010380

Visit SAGE journals online	
journals.sagepub.com/	
home/msj	

SAGE journals

- 154. McCarthy CL, Tuohy O, Compston DAS, et al. Immune competence after alemtuzumab treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2013; 81: 872–876, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23925762
- 155. Zhang Q, Bastard P, Liu Z, et al. Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science* 2020; 370: eabd4570, https://

www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science. abd4570

156. Bastard P, Rosen LB, Zhang Q, et al. Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science* 2020; 370: eabd4585, https:// www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science. abd4585